## ICS 268 Midterm

October 24, 2001

## PROBLEM 1

Let $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{M})$ be a block cipher with $\mathbf{k}$ being a 56 -bit key (like DES). Suppose Alice sends the ciphertext $\mathbf{C 1}=\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k} 1, \mathbf{M 1})$ to Bob and ciphertext $\mathbf{C} 2=\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k} 2, \mathbf{M 2})$ to Charlie. Eve intercepts both ciphertexts. Assume that she also knows $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{M 1} \oplus \mathbf{M 2}$.

Eve wants to discover both $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{k 2}$. Assume that M1 and M2 are both sufficiently long, so that -- given $\mathbf{C 1}, \mathbf{C} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{X}$-- the pair of keys ( $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{k} \mathbf{2}$ ) is uniquely determined. If Eve tries all possible pairs of keys (all $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{1 1 2}}$ of them) she can find $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{2}$. (She can do this by iterating through all $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{k} \mathbf{2}^{\prime}$ until $\mathbf{D}\left(\mathbf{k} \mathbf{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{C 1}\right) \oplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{k} 2, \mathbf{C} 2)=\mathbf{X}$ is found.)

Show that -- given $\mathbf{C} 1, \mathbf{C} 2$ and $\mathbf{X}$ - Eve can find $(\mathbf{k} 1, \mathbf{k} 2)$ by doing only $\mathbf{2}^{57}$ decryption operations. You may use as much memory as you need.

1) Decrypt C 1 with all possible $\mathrm{k} 1^{\prime}$, create table T 1 .
2) Decrypt C 2 with all possible k2', create table T 2 .
3) Compose $\mathrm{T} 3=\mathrm{T} 1$ xor T 2
4) Search for $X$ in T3
(1) $+(2)$ cost $\mathbf{2}^{57}$ decryptions

## PROBLEM 2

Let $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{k} 1, \mathbf{k} \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{M})$ be an encryption algorithm defined as follows:

- Let $\mathbf{p}$ be a 128 -bit prime
- ( $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{k} \mathbf{2}$ ) are each in $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{1}<>\mathbf{0}$
- $\mathbf{M}$ is in $\mathbf{Z p}$
- $\mathbf{E}(\mathrm{k} 1, \mathrm{k} 2, \mathrm{M})=\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{k} 1 * \mathbf{M}+\mathrm{k} 2(\bmod p)$

Show that, given two random plaintext/ciphertext pairs (M1,C1) and (M2,C2), it is possible to easily recover $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{k 2}$ with high probability.

C1-C2=k1(M1+M2)
$\mathrm{K} 1=(\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2) /(\mathrm{M} 1+\mathrm{M} 2)$
$\mathrm{K} 2=\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{K} 1 * \mathrm{M} 1$

## PROBLEM 3

A set G and operator @ satisfy:
-for all $x, y$ in $G, x$ @ $y$ is in $G$
-there is an identity element e such that, for all x , in $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{e} @ \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}$ and $\mathrm{x} @ \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{x}$

- G is finite
-For all $x, y, z$ in $G$, if $x @ y=x @ z$, then $y=z$
-For all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ in $\mathrm{G},(\mathrm{x} @ \mathrm{y}) @ \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x} @(\mathrm{y} @ \mathrm{z})$
Is (G,@) is a group? Prove your answer...
(G, @) is a group only if for each $x$ in $G$, there exists an inverse.
Let's suppose that there exists an element $x$ in $G$ that doesn't have an inverse. Consider a set of elements: $\mathrm{x} @ \mathrm{y}$ where y is free. Clearly, this set contains exactly $n$ distinct elements where $n=|G|$. Suppose it has less than $n$ elements. Then, for some $y 1, y 2$ it holds that $\mathrm{x} @ y 1=\mathrm{x} @ \mathrm{y} 2$ which implies that $\mathrm{y} 1=\mathrm{y} 2$, a contradiction. Therefore, the set must contain exactly n elements. Consequently, one of those elements must be e, the identity element. Thus, x must have an inverse. QED


## PROBLEM 4

Suppose that Alice encrypts, using RSA, the same message $m$ for three different recipients: Bob, Charlie and Diana. All of them have the same public key of $\mathrm{e}=3$ but each has a unique modulus: $\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{3}$

Eve (who knows e, $\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{3}$ ) observes all three ciphertexts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathrm{m}^{3} \bmod \mathrm{n}_{1} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{m}^{3} \bmod \mathrm{n}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{3}=\mathrm{m}^{3} \bmod \mathrm{n}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Show how Eve can compute $m$ without factoring any moduli or computing any private keys.

We have the system of equations where we would like to solve for x :
$\mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathrm{x}^{3}(\bmod \mathrm{n} 1)$
$\mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{x}^{3}(\bmod \mathrm{n} 2)$
$\mathrm{C}_{3}=\mathrm{x}^{3}(\bmod n 3)$
A fair assumption is that $n_{1}, n_{2}$, and $n_{3}$ are pair-wise relative prime. So $x^{3}$ has unique solution ( $\bmod n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}$ ) according to the CRT. Let's call the solution a. Then we have:

$$
\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{x}^{3}\left(\bmod \mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{3}\right)
$$

Since $n_{3}, n_{1}, n_{2}$ are believed to be fairly large, we are certain that $x<n 1, x<n 2$, and $x<n 3$. But this means that $x^{3}<n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}$. So we may proceed and solve this equation as usual, i.e. without considering the modulus. Recall that a cubic equation is easily solved in polynomial time.

## PROBLEM 5

a) (5) It is often necessary to use compression to conserve bandwidth and reduce overhead in communication. If encryption and compression are used on the data, does it make more sense to encrypt the data and compress it, or to compress it first and then encrypt it? Explain.
b) (5) In his famous book, "The Road Ahead" Bill Gates writes that the security of RSA is based on "the difficulty of factoring large prime numbers". Is Bill's statement proven true? Conjectured? Not true? Explain.
c) (10) Recall one way to produce one-time signatures:

$$
m \text { is an n-bit message }
$$

$S K=x$
$P K=y$
$y=f^{2^{n}}(x)$
Signature: $m, f^{2^{n}-m}(x)$
Suppose $\mathrm{n}=8$, so that $y=f^{256}(x)$
What is the one-time signature for $\mathrm{m}_{1}=00110111$ ?
Is it safe to use the same PK twice if we want to later sign another message $\mathrm{m}_{2}=00110011$ ?
a) compress, then encrypt
b) can not factor prime numbers, wrong!
c) $m, f^{201}(x) \quad$ can not reveal $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ since $\mathrm{m}_{1}>\mathrm{m}_{2}$

