

University of California, Irvine
ICS 184 – Database Systems, Winter 2002
Final Exam, SOLUTIONS
Max. Points: 100

1. B.
2. A.
3. D.
4. C. Note that (A) is not right since an attribute-based check cannot prevent deletions, while (B) is a foreign key constraint in the wrong direction.
5. D. The two tuples (1,2) combine with the two tuples (2,5) to produce four tuples (1,2,5). The tuple (3,4) combines with (4,6) to produce (3,4,6). The dangling tuple (7,8) is padded to produce the tuple (NULL,7,8), a total of 6 tuples.
6. D. “ANY” means “at least one.” If S.C is empty, then Q2 can be empty, while Q1 is nonempty.
7. B. Q1 will produce all occurrences of the maximum value of x in R whereas it will be produce only once in Q2
8. D.
9. B. (BE) is the only candidate key of R.
10. A. I is true because $DE \rightarrow A$ is also a BCNF violation for R. II is false: if we decompose using $DE \rightarrow A$, we will get a relation with attributes (A, D, E), which we will not get by decomposing with $B \rightarrow D$ first.
11. (1) Each table can have only one “primary” key, and multiple “unique” keys. (2) A “primary” key cannot be NULL, while a “unique” key can be NULL.
12. Answer: U, T, F, U, U.
13. After Q1:
Emp: (Tom, NULL), (Mary, NULL), (Jack, 222), (Henry, 222)
Dept: (222, LA), (333,SF)
After Q2:
Emp: (Tom, NULL), (Mary, NULL), (Jack, 444), (Henry, 444)
Dept: (444, LA), (333,SF)

14. (a) `CREATE TABLE Mgr(SSN CHAR(9) PRIMARY KEY,
assistantSSN CHAR(9) REFERENCES Emp(SSN));`

(b) Modify the create table statement to read:

```
CREATE TABLE Dept(DNo INTEGER PRIMARY KEY,  
name CHAR(30),  
budget FLOAT CHECK budget <= 1000000);
```

(c) Modify the create table statement to read:

```
CREATE TABLE EMP(SSN CHAR(9) PRIMARY KEY,  
name CHAR(30),  
salary FLOAT,  
DNo INTEGER,
```

```
CHECK ((salary <= 20000) OR NOT (DNo = 13) ));
```

(d) CREATE ASSERTION SmallDepartmentsHaveFewManagers

```
CHECK (  
  NOT EXISTS (  
    SELECT Dept.DNo  
    FROM   Dept, Emp, Mgr  
    WHERE  Dept.Dno = Emp.DNo AND  
           Emp.SSN = Mgr.SSN AND  
           Dept.budget < 1000000  
    GROUP BY Dept.DNo  
    HAVING COUNT (*) > 2)  
);
```

(e) Answer: "We can never decrease the salary of the person named Tom Smith."

15.

```
(a)  SELECT      Name, SSN  
      FROM        Employee, Hourlog  
      WHERE       Hourlog.hours > 100 AND  
                 Employee.SSN = Hourlog.SSN;
```

```
(b) (SELECT SSN FROM Employee)  
    EXCEPT  
    (SELECT SSN FROM Hourlog);
```

"EXCEPT" can be replaced by "MINUS" (Oracle).

```
(c)  SELECT Name, SSN  
      FROM   Employee, Hourlog  
      WHERE  Employee.DNO = 10 AND  
             Hourlog.SSN = Employee.SSN AND  
             Hourlog.PNO = 345;
```

```
(d)  SELECT      Name, SSN  
      FROM        Employee, Hourlog H1, Hourlog H2  
      WHERE  EMPLOYEE.SSN = H1.SSN AND  
             H1.SSN = H2.SSN AND  
             H1.PNO < H2.PNO;
```

```
(e)  SELECT      PNO, SSN  
      FROM        HourLog H1  
      WHERE       hours = (SELECT MAX(hours)  
                           FROM HourLog  
                           WHERE PNO = H1.Pno);
```

16. (a) Candidate keys: (A), (E), (BC), (CD).

(b) $B \rightarrow D$ is a violation: decompose it to $R_1(B,D)$ and $R_2(A,B,C,E)$.

FDs on R_1 : $B \rightarrow D$. So R_1 is in BCNF.

FDs on R_2 : $A \rightarrow BC$, $A \rightarrow E$, $E \rightarrow A$. So R_2 is in BCNF.

(c) Yes. No 3NF violation.

17. (a) Solution: Let us assume $A \twoheadrightarrow B$ and $B \twoheadrightarrow C$. We need to show that $A \twoheadrightarrow C$. Given two tuples $t_1 = (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1)$ and $t_2 = (a_1, b_2, c_2, d_2)$ in R , we need to show that R also contains (a_1, b_2, c_1, d_2) . As $A \twoheadrightarrow B$ and R contains t_1 and t_2 , the relation will also contain the tuples $u_1 = (a_1, b_2, c_1, d_1)$ and $u_2 = (a_1, b_1, c_2, d_2)$. Now as $B \twoheadrightarrow C$ and R contains t_2 and u_1 , the tuple (a_1, b_2, c_1, d_2) exists in R .

QED.

NOTE: we do not accept the proof that says “By the transitivity rule...”, since we are asking you to prove this “transitivity rule.”

(b) Solutions: No. The following is a counterexample.

A	B	C	D
3	2	1	0
5	4	1	0

This instance satisfies the FD and MVD restrictions. But if we swap B values, the new tuple $(3,4,1,0)$ does not exist in the table.