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Motivation

- Software Architecture, Components, and Connectors
- Many benefits of event-based connectors
- But the majority of software is still based on procedure calls
- Need to bridge
  - Integrate
  - Evolve
  - Explore
Event-based Systems

- Components send events to each other
- Connectors provide messaging infrastructure
- Benefits
  - Heterogeneous components
  - Loosely-coupling
  - Easy evolution
- Sample systems: SIENA, KnowNow, C2
A Commercial Object Library: COM

- The dominant communication mechanism: DCE RPC, CORBA, COM(+), RMI, Web Service
- COM’s basic concepts
  - Interface
  - Class
  - Object
  - Apartment
Issues in Integration

- Accidental and essential difficulties
- Accidental issues
  - Platforms: process, machine, OS, protocol
  - Programming Languages: JIntegra
- Essential issues: architectural difference
  - Lack of explicit reference
    - References of different forms: naming, binding
  - Asynchrony
    - Architectural and implementation asynchrony
Limitations of Built-in Integration

- COM’s newer functionalities
  - Stubs for asynchronous calls
  - Event Service, MSMQ
- No dynamic events
- No event routing
A bridging framework

- COM-compatible interfaces describing concepts in event-based systems
  - IEvent
  - IComponent
  - IConnector
- Classes implementing these interfaces
Key interface: IConnector

Interface IConnector {
    HRESULT HandleEvent(IEvent *);
    HRESULT Attach(IComponnet *);
    HRESULT Detach(IComponent *);
    HRESULT Attach(IConnector *);
    HRESULT Detach(IConnector *);
    HRESULT Publish(IEvent *evt, IComponent *pub);
    HRESULT Subscribe(IEvent *pt, IComponent *sub);
}

- Route events
- Configure components and connectors
- Publish and subscribe events
References and Asynchrony

- No explicit references between components
  - Only connectors know the neighboring components and connectors

- Sending event is non-blocking
  - `SendAndWait` is provided for convenience
Evaluation: Visio for ArchStudio

Using the framework to integrate Microsoft Visio as the graphical front-end of ArchStudio
Conclusion

- Integrating event-based systems and object libraries is important
- The challenge lies in the essential architectural differences: explicit reference and synchronous operation
- An initial bridging framework
- Future work: model and security