

STATISTICS 110, FALL 2015 FINAL EXAM

NAME: _____

Your assigned homework number: _____

Last 6 digits of Student ID: _____

Assigned seat for this exam: _____

Open notes. You should have 6 pages plus a page of output. Use the back of the pages if you need more space. Each problem is worth **6 points** except where indicated.

1. (2 pts each) In a linear regression situation with response variable Y and one or more X explanatory variables, specify whether each of the following involves the Y values only, the X values only, or both the Y and the X values. *Circle your answer.*

- | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|-----------|
| a. Variance inflation factor for X_1 | Ys only | Xs only | Ys and Xs |
| b. Hat values | Ys only | Xs only | Ys and Xs |
| c. SSTotal | Ys only | Xs only | Ys and Xs |
| d. Cook's Distance values | Ys only | Xs only | Ys and Xs |
| e. Predicted values (\hat{Y}) | Ys only | Xs only | Ys and Xs |

The following scenario is for questions 2 to 10:

A pharmaceutical company is developing a new drug that it hopes will provide relief for hay fever. They are considering two active ingredients, which we will call A and B. For the initial part of the experiment they decided to test only ingredient A, to figure out what concentration of that ingredient works best. They create identical-looking pills but that have four different concentration levels, including a placebo that has none of the active ingredient. The concentration levels are 0 mg (placebo), 10 mg, 15 mg and 20 mg. 100 volunteers who suffer from hay fever are willing to participate in the experiment. 25 volunteers are randomly assigned to each of the concentration levels. The response variable is a "relief score" found by using number of hours of relief, and subtracting points for negative side effects. High relief scores are desirable. A plot and some output are given on a separate page.

2. Two possible analysis methods are simple linear regression with X = concentration level, or one-factor ANOVA, with the concentration levels treated as a categorical variable with 4 categories. The output page shows summary statistics and a plot of the results with Y = relief score and X = concentration level. Explain why it would not be appropriate to use simple linear regression as the analysis method.

Additional information for Questions 6 to 10: The company decided to continue the experiment by making new pills that contained both ingredient A and ingredient B. They used 2 levels of each ingredient – none or 10 mg, so there were 4 combinations, with “none, none” representing an overall placebo. Again they had 100 volunteers, so they randomly assigned 25 to each of the 4 combinations.


6. (4 pts) Are blocks used in this experiment? Briefly explain.

7. (8 pts) There are two factors in this experiment. Name each factor, and then specify whether it is fixed or random, how many levels it has, and what the levels are.

Factor name	Fixed or Random?	Number of levels	Levels

8. The relief score means for the combination of ingredients are shown in the table below. Use them to create an interaction plot on the axes provided. Label everything clearly.

	Concentration of Ingredient B	
Concentration of Ingredient A	None	10 mg
None	8	15
10 mg	16	16



9. Based on the cell means and your plot in Question 8, comment on whether there appears to be a non-zero Factor A effect, Factor B effect and/or interaction effect, and explain briefly how you know.

Factor A effect?

Factor B effect?

Interaction effect?

10. In this situation, the full model can be written as $Y = \mu + \alpha_k + \beta_j + \gamma_{jk} + \varepsilon$. Using this notation, write the model corresponding to each of the following null hypotheses.

a. H_0 : There is no interaction and no Factor A effect.

b. H_0 : There is a Factor B effect and an interaction, but no Factor A effect.

c. If you wanted to use the hypothesis in part (a) as the null hypothesis and the hypothesis in part (b) as the alternative hypothesis, could you use the nested F test (i.e., the full and reduced model framework)? Explain your answer.

MULTIPLE CHOICE (3 points each); circle the best answer.

The following scenario is for Questions 1 to 6:

A university would like to reduce its “carbon footprint” and would like to know what incentives might get people to use less energy. Participants can earn points by visiting a website and pledging to take certain energy-saving actions. The university would like to compare 3 plans for how people are rewarded for earning points, to see which one gets people to earn the most points. The plans are:

Plan 1: Participants can redeem points for food discounts on campus.

Plan 2: Participants can redeem points for prizes such as tee shirts with the campus logo.

Plan 3: Participants can redeem points for free tickets to campus sporting events.

The university population consists of students, staff and faculty, and knowing that the 3 cohorts might have different preferences, the experiment will be done using a random sample of 120 people from each cohort, and randomly assigned 40 in each them to each of the 3 plans. The response variable is the number of points each person earns during one month in the program. Thus, there are two factors: Factor A is the plan assigned (1, 2, or 3) and Factor B is the person’s cohort (student, staff, faculty).

1. Would the participants in this study be considered to be blocks, and why?
 - A. Yes, because they were randomly selected from all possible students, staff or faculty.
 - B. Yes, because they were randomly assigned to one of the plans.
 - C. Yes, because different individuals were in the student, staff and faculty cohorts.
 - D. No, because each participant was measured only once.
2. If there is a significant “plan effect” could the university conclude that there is a *cause and effect* relationship between the plan assigned and the points earned?
 - A. Yes, because a random sample of people from each cohort was used for the study.
 - B. Yes, because the participants were randomly assigned to the plans.
 - C. No, because people didn’t volunteer for the experiment, they were selected.
 - D. No, because students might prefer one plan, while faculty or staff might prefer a different plan.
3. Could the university generalize the results of the experiment to all individuals in the populations represented by each cohort (students, staff, faculty), and why?
 - A. Yes, because a random sample of people from each cohort was used for the study.
 - B. Yes, because the participants were randomly assigned to the plans.
 - C. No, because people didn’t volunteer for the experiment, they were selected.
 - D. No, because students might prefer one plan, while faculty or staff might prefer a different plan.
4. What would it mean if there was a Factor A effect in this experiment?
 - A. The mean points that would be earned if everyone in the university were to participate is not the same for students, staff and faculty.
 - B. The mean points that would be earned if everyone in the university were to participate is not the same for all 3 plans.
 - C. The preference for one plan over another is not the same for the 3 cohorts.
 - D. The mean points that would be earned if everyone in the university were to participate is greater than 0.
5. What would it mean if there was a Factor B effect in this experiment?
 - A. The mean points that would be earned if everyone in the university were to participate is not the same for students, staff and faculty.
 - B. The mean points that would be earned if everyone in the university were to participate is not the same for all 3 plans.
 - C. The preference for one plan over another is not the same for the 3 cohorts.
 - D. The mean points that would be earned if everyone in the university were to participate is greater than 0.

6. What would it mean if there was an AxB interaction effect in this experiment?
- The mean points that would be earned if everyone in the university were to participate is not the same for students, staff and faculty.
 - The mean points that would be earned if everyone in the university were to participate is not the same for all 3 plans.
 - The preference for one plan over another is not the same for the 3 cohorts.
 - The mean points that would be earned if everyone in the university were to participate is greater than 0.

The following scenario is for Questions 7 and 8:

A multiple regression model is run in R using the `lm` command, and then the `anova(model)` command is used, resulting in the following ANOVA table:

```


Analysis of Variance Table
Response: Y

```

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)
X1	1	1.108	1.1081	0.2998	0.58978
X2	1	0.770	0.7698	0.2083	0.65281
X3	1	24.713	24.7134	6.6865	0.01724 *
Residuals	21	77.616	3.6960		

7. Using notation $SS(A|B)$ which of the following represents the value 0.770?
- $SS(X_2)$
 - $SS(X_1 | X_2)$
 - $SS(X_2 | X_1)$
 - $SS(X_2 | X_1, X_3)$
8. Which of the following is the value of SS_{Model} ?
- $77.616 - (1.108 + 0.770 + 24.713)$
 - $1.108 + 0.770 + 24.713$
 - $1.108 + 0.770 + 24.713 + 77.616$
 - It cannot be determined from the information in the output.
9. In a multiple regression setting, which one of the following is most affected if you add an explanatory variable that's highly correlated with the ones already in the equation?
- The overall F test
 - The predicted values
 - The interpretation of MSE
 - The interpretation of the individual coefficients
10. Consider a regression situation with a quantitative variable X, a response variable Y, and one categorical variable with 3 levels. Three indicator variables A_1 , A_2 and A_3 are defined, with $A_k = 1$ if the individual is from level k, and 0 otherwise. To allow different *slopes* (for the relationship between X and Y) for each level of the categorical variable, which of the following terms need to be included in the model in addition to $\beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon$?
- A_1 , A_2 and A_3 .
 - A_1 and A_2 , but not A_3 .
 - $A_1 X$, $A_2 X$ and $A_3 X$.
 - $A_1 X$ and $A_2 X$, but not $A_3 X$.

OUTPUT FOR STATISTICS 110 FINAL EXAM, FALL 2015



```

> tapply(Relief.Score,Level,mean)
Placebo    10 mg    15 mg    20 mg
 7.5404   14.7324   8.8176  14.3116
> tapply(Relief.Score, Level, sd)
Placebo    10 mg    15 mg    20 mg
2.083742  2.249180  1.950646  1.581330

> Mod = aov(Relief.Score ~ Level)
> summary(Mod)
          Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
Level      3   1028   342.8    87.31 <2e-16 ***
Residuals 96    377     3.9
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

> TukeyHSD(Mod, ordered = T)
Tukey multiple comparisons of means
 95% family-wise confidence level
factor levels have been ordered

Fit: aov(formula = Relief.Score ~ Level)

$Level
          diff          lwr          upr          p adj
15 mg-Placebo 1.2772 -0.1882125  2.742613  0.1102152
20 mg-Placebo 6.7712  5.3057875  8.236613  0.0000000
10 mg-Placebo 7.1920  5.7265875  8.657413  0.0000000
20 mg-15 mg   5.4940  4.0285875  6.959413  0.0000000
10 mg-15 mg   5.9148  4.4493875  7.380213  0.0000000
10 mg-20 mg   0.4208 -1.0446125  1.886213  0.8761149

```