# Group 6 Final Presentation

Evan White, MikeTang, Matt Fritz, Denise Ma, Donald Stern

### Heuristic evaluations

- 5 total users
  - User1: Background in interface and web design.
  - User2: Informatics major with HCI spec.
  - User3: Informatics major with HCI spec.
  - User4: Informatics major who recently took 131.
  - User5: Informatics major who recently took 131 and works in a job involving interface design.
- All tests were performed on an 11.6 inch laptop with a resolution of 1368x768 resolution.
- Users were told to think aloud while using the site but were otherwise not given any specific instructions.

### User1 & 2

- Already discussed in Interim presentation.
- Neither liked the drop-down menu.
- User2 suggested default values for course creation.
- User1 suggested default values for drop down navigation.
- Found the drag-and-drop for adding professors to courses easy to use.
- Both liked the system overall.

- Found explanations given on the help section to be useful.
- Creating courses was easy and intuitive.
- Found drag-and-drop easy to use.
- Some bug-testing
  - Courses with same name can be created.
  - Can assign a professor multiple times the same courses.
- More advanced information on the "home" button.
- Unsure about saving information.

- Had major technical problems with the site during the test.
  - Attempting to move a professor after they had been assigned caused the site to display several pop-ups.
- Found drag-and-drop easy to use.
- Was unsure about what "help" would do.
- Suggested having course removal from the assignment page.
- Found course creation easy.

- Drag-and-drop was simple to use.
- Found navigating off the assignment page to be a little difficult.
- Thought the site looked plain and suggested that we have a background.
- Was unsure what the symbols meant on course removal.
- User found editing courses to be easy.
- User was unsure about auto-saving.
- User did not like the home button being on the bottom.
- User wanted borders on assignment page to make cells more noticeable.

### **Evaluations Conclusions**

- Core functionality was easy to use.
  - Drag-and-drop professor assignment.
  - Course creation
  - Course editing.
- The site may be too plain.
- The users that noticed the "help" page found it useful.
- The site needed better navigation.
- Some bugs still need to be fixed.

### Use Case Testing

- Created a list of tasks for users to perform
  - o e.g. Add Prof. Kobsa to INF 132 in Spring '11
- Used Mac version of firefox
  - 13 inch screen without mouse
- One note take and one moderator
  - Moderator issued tasks without providing help
- Users did not have any knowledge of the system before use

## **Use Case Testing**

- Minor in ICS, no background in HCI
- Drop down menu should be more noticeable.
  - Used homepage link instead of drop down
- Hard to find color code key
  - Need to put on schedule page
- Help menu was unused even when stumped
- Conclusion:
  - Thought the system was intuitive
  - Wanted the drop-down menu listed out instead of hidden in the upper right hand corner
  - Color code was frustrating to find

## Use Case Testing (Contd.)

- Mechanic with no HCI experience
- Confused ICS 135 with Informatics 135
  - Did not look at class type, but instead just number
- Could not finish the task that required him to find color codes
- Had trouble using the touch pad on the Mac
  - difficulties with dragging and dropping
- Conclusion:
  - Thought the system was easy to use
  - Expressed no interest in using the Help page

## Use Case Testing (Contd.)

- ICS Major, but no HCI experience
- He was not comfortable with the layout
- Had a lot of trouble finding color codes
  - Eventually found them after clicking Help
- Opened Help page in a new tab
- First user to use the drop-down menu extensively
- Drop-down menu looked odd with both up/down arrows on Mac computer
- Conclusion:
  - Not used to link formatting (e.g. "...logout?")
  - Once he discovered the Help page, he referred back to it many times
  - Thought homepage was unconventional

## Use Case Testing (Cont.)

#### <u> User 4-</u>

- Took INF 131, ICS major
- Also had trouble with dragging and dropping
  - Managed to drag more than one name at once
- Difficulties with finding the color codes.
  - o referred to other courses
  - eventually found the help page and opened it with a new tab
- Did not scroll down to find course needed
- Tried to delete a course by clicking it on the assignment page
- Conclusion:
  - Layout is fine, but a little boring
  - Thought the help page was useful once discovered
  - Color codes should be placed at more than one location

## Use Case Testing (Cont.)

#### <u> User 5</u> -

- CS major, basic knowledge in HCI
- Used drop-down menu instead of links on homepage
- Found help page after a struggle
  - Clicked on every link before clicking on help
- Also tried to delete a course on the professor assignment page
- Conclusion:
  - Thought it was a good system but it was too plain
  - Color codes should be placed on assignment page.
  - Intuitive, easy to understand

## Use Case Testing Conclusion

- Place color codes at a more noticeable location
- Make help page more obvious
  - Most users didn't have the habit of using the help page
- Dragging is difficult without mouse
- Homepage too plain but functional
- All users were able to perform the use cases with ease with the exception of the color code
  - Once help page was found, users were able to do all the tasks
- Intuitive and easy to use

### Schedule Breakdown

#### The Time Plan/Task Allotment:

- 1. Elicitation
  - Interviews (by 5th week) Two (2) Completed
    - Questions prepared as a group (4/15)
    - Interview given by: Matt and Mike
- 2. Implementation (by 6th week) Completed
  - Brainstorming done as a group
  - First prototype put together by Donald, Matt and Denise
- 3. Primary Evaluations (by 7th week) Completed
  - Heuristic Evaluation Completed
    - Prepared by group and deployed by Evan, Mike
  - Cognitive Walk-Through
    - Prepared by group and deployed by Evan, Mike
  - Use-Case
    - Prepared and deployed by group

## Schedule Breakdown (cont.)

- 4. Revision (7th-8th week)\* Completed
  - Editing of interface based on findings from evaluations
- 5. Secondary Evaluations (7th-10th week)\* In Progress
  - Cognitive Walk-Through
    - Prepared by group and deployed by Evan, Mike
- 6. Finalize Interface (10th week) In Progress
  - Final implementation by Donald, Matt and Denise
  - Final Paper Draft by Donald, Matt and Denise

<sup>\*</sup>Phases 4 and 5 are iterative and may thus be repeated as necessary over the 2 weeks allotted to them

http://www.burbankparanormal.com/inf132/

password: admin/admin

## Thank You!

Questions?