

2. USER MODELING TECHNIQUES

In the field of user modeling, numerous techniques have been developed for representing various kinds of information and assumptions about the user in appropriate formal representation schemes, for inferring additional assumptions about the user based on initial hypotheses, for maintaining consistency in the user model, and for inferring conclusions about the user based on his or her interaction history with the system (for a survey, see Kobsa 1993 and McTear 1993).

2.1 Stereotypes

A frequently employed user modeling method is the so-called "stereotype approach" (Rich 1979, Rich 1989). Stereotypes contain typical characteristics of user groups in the application domain of the system. Often they also contain so-called activation conditions which represent key characteristics that allow one to identify an individual as belonging to the respective user group. Stereotypes become applied to the current user if they are "manually" assigned, or if their activation conditions match available information about the user (automatic classification). As a consequence, all characteristics in the corresponding stereotypes are attributed to the user. Stereotypical assumptions about a user can be supplemented, or even overridden, if additional information pertaining to this individual user is available. The resulting collection of assumptions forms the individual user model, which should be taken into account when adapting the system to the user.

2.2 Interaction history

Another very different user modeling method (which does not require an advance analysis of the target user population) is to record users' interaction behavior, to cluster the interaction data and associate each cluster with a user group or a task step, and to generalize the data in each cluster to "interaction patterns" (Pitschke 1994, Mathé & Chen 1994). When a new user becomes classified as belonging to a specific cluster, the associated navigation pattern resulting from previous users of the same cluster can be employed for guidance. A more controlled approach (which often seems to turn out to be more feasible than completely unsupervised clustering) is to first assign users to groups, based on limited key information about them, and then to generalize their interaction behaviors into patterns for each group (cf. Kaplan et al. 1993, Sherman and Shortliffe 1993).

3. USER MODELING SHELL SYSTEMS

Since the development of user models is quite time-consuming and expensive, so-called user modeling shell systems have recently been developed (Finin 1989, Kay 1995, Kobsa & Pohl 1995, Orwant 1995). They provide a number of services for application systems, including user model representation, inference on the basis of the user model, consistency maintenance, and automatic user classification. A few shells also allow the user to inspect and possibly modify the user model (Cook & Kay 1994, Orwant 1994). User modeling shell systems facilitate user modeling in application systems if their services meet the user modeling needs of the application. The shell systems have to be filled with application-dependent user modeling knowledge (e.g., what the user groups and their key characteristics are, which assumptions can be made if the user performs certain actions at the interface, etc.). The resulting "filled" shell can then form the user modeling component of the application system.

4. TOWARDS USER INTERFACES FOR ALL

Work in user modeling has so far mostly been concerned with "normal" users. User characteristics which have been modeled include users' domain knowledge, goals, plans, interests, preferences and misconceptions. The adaptation takes place at the level of the content of the provided information (as opposed to the level of information presentation at the interface). Recent research efforts extend the scope of user modeling towards modeling the characteristics of all users, including those with communication and interaction difficulties. In doing so, the modeled user characteristics must be extended to also include abilities, and the adaptation must take these abilities into account when presenting information to the user. The adaptation to users with communication and interaction difficulties can take place at design time (e.g., a generic user interface is "compiled" into one that specifically caters to a specific user or a user group), at the time when the user starts the interaction with the system, and continuously during the interaction. Many classical user modeling techniques can be used for modeling all users (e.g. stereotypes for modeling the default abilities of certain user groups). Others will possibly have to be added.

5. REFERENCES

- R. Cook and J. Kay (1994): The Justified User Model: A Viewable, Explained User Model. In: Proc. of the Fourth International Conference on User Modeling, Hyannis, MA, 145-150.
- H. Dieterich, U. Malinowski, T. Kühme, M. Schneider-Hufschmidt (1993): State of the Art in Adaptive User Interfaces. In: M. Schneider-Hufschmidt, T. Kühme, U. Malinowski, eds. (1993): Adaptive User Interfaces: Principles and Practise. Amsterdam: North Holland Elsevier.
- T. W. Finin (1989): GUMS: A General User Modeling Shell. In: A. Kobsa, W. Wahlster, eds. (1989): User Models in Dialog Systems. Berlin: Springer.
- C. Kaplan, J. Fenwick, J. Chen (1993): Adaptive Hypertext Navigation Based on User Goals and Context. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction* 3(3).
- J. Kay (1995): The um Toolkit for Reusable, Long Term User Models. To appear in *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*.
- A. Kobsa, W. Wahlster, eds. (1989): User Models in Dialog Systems. Berlin: Springer.
- A. Kobsa, ed.: User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction: An International Journal. Dordrecht: Kluwer (since 1991).
- A. Kobsa (1993): User Modeling: Recent Work, Prospects and Hazards. In: M. Schneider-Hufschmidt, T. Kühme, U. Malinowski, eds. (1993): Adaptive User Interfaces: Principles and Practise. Amsterdam: North Holland Elsevier.
- A. Kobsa and W. Pohl (1995): The BGP-MS User Modeling Shell System. To appear in *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*.
- N. Mathé and J. Chen (1994): A User-Centered Approach to Adaptive Hypertext based on an Information Relevance Model. In: Proc. of the Fourth International Conference on User Modeling, Hyannis, MA, 107-114.
- M. McTear, ed. (1993): Artificial Intelligence Review 7, Special Issue on User Modeling .
- J. Orwant (1994): Apprising the User of User Models: Doppelgänger's Interface. In: In: Proc. of the Fourth International Conference on User Modeling, Hyannis, MA, 151-156.
- J. Orwant (1995): Heterogenous Learning in the Doppelgänger User Modeling System. To appear in *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*.
- K. Pitschke (1994): User Modeling for Domains without Explicit Design Theories. In: Proc. of the Fourth International Conference on User Modeling, Hyannis, MA, 191-195.
- E. Rich (1979): User Modeling via Stereotypes. *Cognitive Science*, 3:329-354.
- E. Rich (1989): Stereotypes and User Modeling. In: A. Kobsa and W. Wahlster (eds.): User Models in Dialog Systems, pp. 35-51. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- M. Schneider-Hufschmidt, T. Kühme, and U. Malinowski, eds. (1993): Adaptive User Interfaces: Principles and Practise. Amsterdam: North Holland Elsevier.
- E. H. Sherman and E. H. Shortliffe (1993): A User-Adaptable Interface to Predict Users' Needs. In: M. Schneider-Hufschmidt, T. Kühme, U. Malinowski, eds. (1993): Adaptive User Interfaces: Principles and Practise. Amsterdam: North Holland Elsevier.

