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Objectives

- Level up on things that you may already know…
  - Machine model of imperative programs
  - Structured vs. unstructured control flow
  - Assignment
  - Variables and names
  - Lexical scope and blocks
  - Expressions and statements

- …so to understand existing languages better
Imperative Programming

- Oldest and most popular paradigm
  - Fortran, Algol, C, Java ...
- Mirrors computer architecture
  - In a von Neumann machine, memory holds instructions and data
- Control-flow statements
  - Conditional and unconditional (GO TO) branches, loops
- Key operation: assignment
  - Side effect: updating state (i.e., memory) of the machine
Simplified Machine Model

- Registers
- Code
- Data

Program counter
Environment pointer

Stack
Heap
Memory Management

- Registers, Code segment, Program counter
  - Ignore registers (for our purposes) and details of instruction set

- Data segment
  - Stack contains data related to block entry/exit
  - Heap contains data of varying lifetime
  - Environment pointer points to current stack position
    - Block entry: add new activation record to stack
    - Block exit: remove most recent activation record
Control Flow

- Control flow in imperative languages is most often designed to be **sequential**
  - Instructions executed in order they are written
  - Some also support concurrent execution (Java)

- But...
# include <stdio.h>
int main(){
    float num,average,sum;
    int i,n;
    printf("Maximum no. of inputs: ");
    scanf("%d",&n);
    for(i=1;i<=n;++i){
        printf("Enter n%d: ",i);
        scanf("%f",&num);
        if(num<0.0)
            goto jump;
        sum=sum+num;
    }
    jump:
    average=sum/(i-1);
    printf("Average: %.2f",average);
    return 0;
}
C AREA OF A TRIANGLE - HERON'S FORMULA
C INPUT - CARD READER UNIT 5, INTEGER INPUT, ONE BLANK CARD FOR END-OF-DATE
C OUTPUT - LINE PRINTER UNIT 6, REAL OUTPUT
C INPUT ERROR DISPLAY ERROR MESSAGE ON OUTPUT

501 FORMAT(3I5)
601 FORMAT(4H A= ,I5,5H B= ,I5,5H C= ,I5,8H AREA= ,F10.2,12HSQUARE UNIT
602 FORMAT(10HNORMAL END)
603 FORMAT(23HINPUT ERROR, ZERO VALUE)

INTEGER A,B,C
10 READ(5,501) A,B,C
IF(A.EQ.0 .AND. B.EQ.0 .AND. C.EQ.0) GO TO 50
IF(A.EQ.0 .OR. B.EQ.0 .OR. C.EQ.0) GO TO 90
S = (A + B + C) / 2.0
AREA = SQRT( S * (S - A) * (S - B) * (S - C))
WRITE(6,601) A,B,C,AREA
GO TO 10
50 WRITE(6,602)
STOP
90 WRITE(6,603)
STOP
END
Program is **structured** if control flow is evident from syntactic (static) structure of program text.

- **Hope**: programmers can reason about dynamic execution of a program by just analysing program text.

- Eliminate complexity by creating language constructs for common control-flow patterns:
  - Iteration, selection, procedures/functions
Historical Debate

- Dijkstra, “GO TO Statement Considered Harmful”
  - Letter to Editor, Comm. ACM, March 1968
  - Linked from the course website

- Knuth, “Structured Prog. with Go To Statements”
  - You can use goto, but do so in structured way …

- Continued discussion
  - Welch, “GOTO (Considered Harmful)^n, n is Odd”

- General questions
  - Do syntactic rules force good programming style?
  - Can they help?
Structured Programming

- Standard constructs that structure jumps
  - if ... then ... else ... end
  - while ... do ... end
  - for ... { ... }
  - case ...

- Group code in logical blocks

- Avoid explicit jumps (except function return)

- Cannot jump into the middle of a block or function body
A metric to measure the amount of control flow paths in a block of code

\[ CC = E - N + 2P \]

where
- \( E \) = number of edges
- \( N \) = number of nodes
- \( P \) = number of exit nodes

Less is better
Cyclomatic Complexity

- Rule of thumb:
  - CC < 10: ok
  - 10 < CC < 20: moderate risk
  - 20 < CC < 50: high risk
  - CC > 50: extremely high risk

Less is better
CC example

IF A = 354 THEN
   IF B > C THEN
      A = B
   ELSE
      A = C
   ENDIF
ENDIF
Print A

CC = \[ 8 - 7 + 2 \times 1 = 3 \]
Another example

insertion_procedure (int a[], int p [], int N)
{
    int i, j, k;
    for (i=0; i<=N; i++)
        p[i] = i;
    for (i=2; i<=N; i++) {
        k = p[i];
        j = 1;
        while (a[p[j-1]] > a[k]) {
            p[j] = p[j-1];
            j--;
        }
        p[j] = k;
    }
}

Source: stackoverflow
Another example

insertion_procedure (int a[], int p [], int N) {
    int i, j, k;
    for ((2a)i=0; (2b)i<=N; (2c)i++)
        p[i] = i;
    for ((4a)i=2; (4b)i<=N; (4c)i++)
        {
            k=p[i]; j=1;
            while (a[p[j-1]] > a[k]) {
                p[j] = p[j-1];
                j--
            }
            p[j] = k;
        }
}
Another example

CC = 4

Source: stackoverflow
Assignment (you thought you knew)

\[
\begin{align*}
  x &= 3 \\
  x &= y + 1 \\
  x &= x + 1
\end{align*}
\]

Informal:

“Set x to 3”
“Set x to the value of y plus 1”
“Add 1 to x”

Let’s look at some other examples
Assignment (you thought you knew)

\[
i = (a > b) \ ? \ j : k
\]
\[
m[i] = m[(a > b) \ ? \ j : k]
\]
\[
m[(a > b) \ ? \ j : k] = m[i]
\]

\[
\text{Exp}_1 \ = \ \text{Exp}_2 \ ?
\]

Assume x is 5 \quad x = x + 1 \quad \text{means} \quad 5 = 6 \quad ????

What \textbf{exactly} does assignment mean?
Assignment (you thought you knew)

$x = x + 1$

Exp$_1$ = Exp$_2$ ?

Not quite!

Left side
Location-value (L-value)

Right side
Regular-value (R-value)
Assignment

- On the RHS of an assignment, use the variable’s R-value; on the LHS, use its L-value
  - Example: \( x = x + 1 \)
  - Meaning: “get R-value of \( x \), add 1, store the result into the L-value of \( x \)”

- An expression that does not have an L-value cannot appear on the LHS of an assignment
  - What expressions don’t have l-values?
    - Examples: \( 1 = x + 1 \), \( x++ \) (why?)
    - What about \( a[1] = x + 1 \), where \( a \) is an array? Why?
When a name is used, it is bound to some memory location and becomes its identifier.

- Location could be in global, heap, or stack storage.

- **L-value**: memory location (address)

- **R-value**: value stored at the memory location identified by l-value

Assignment: \( A \) (target) = \( B \) (expression)

- Destructive update: overwrites the memory location identified by \( A \) with a value of expression \( B \)

  - What if a variable appears on both sides of assignment?
Any expression or assignment statement in an imperative language can be understood in terms of l-values and r-values of variables involved.

- In C, also helps with complex pointer dereferencing and pointer arithmetic.

- Literal constants
  - Have r-values, but not l-values

- Variables
  - Have both r-values and l-values
  - Example: \( x = x * y \) means “compute \( \text{rval}(x) \times \text{rval}(y) \) and store it in \( \text{lval}(x) \)”
Pointer variables
- Their r-values are l-values of another variable
  - Intuition: the value of a pointer is an address

Overriding r-value and l-value computation in C
- &x always returns l-value of x
- *p always return r-value of p
  - If p is a pointer, this is an l-value of another variable

```c
int x = 5; // lval(x) is some (stack) address, rval(x) == 5
int *p = &x // rval(p) == lval(x)
p = 2 * x; // rval(p) <- rval(2) * rval(x)
```

What are the values of p and x at this point?
Copy vs. Reference Semantics

- **Copy semantics**: expression is evaluated to a value, which is copied to the target
  - Used by imperative languages
- **Reference semantics**: expression is evaluated to an object, whose pointer is copied to the target
  - Used by object-oriented languages
Copy vs. Reference Semantics

In Java/C/C++:
\[
x = 1; \\
x = 3;
\]
Copy semantics

In Java/C++/Python/Ruby:
\[
x = \text{new Foo}; \\
x = \text{new FooBar};
\]
Reference semantics

In Python/Ruby:
\[
x = 1; \\
x = 3;
\]
Reference semantics

Overwrites the r-value of x from int 1 to int 3

Overwrites the r-value of x too, but that value is a "pointer"

Overwrites the r-value of x too, but that value is a "pointer"
Declared functions and procedures

Have l-values, but no r-values

int f(int y); // lval(f) is some global address
typedef int (*IFP)(int); // pointer to an int function that takes an int argument
IFP g = &f; // lval(g) <- lval(f)
(*g)(5); // (rval(g)) == lval(f), so *g invokes f with argument rval(5)
// the function call operator () has higher precedence than * so
// we have to write (*g)(5) to deference g to invoke f(5)
Typed Variable Declarations

- Typed variable declarations restrict the values that a variable may assume during program execution
  - Built-in types (int, char ...) or user-defined
  - Initialization: Java integers to 0. What about C?

- Variable size
  - How much space needed to hold values of this variable?
    - C on a 32-bit machine: sizeof(char) = 1 byte, sizeof(short) = 2 bytes, sizeof(int) = 4 bytes, sizeof(char*) = 4 bytes (why?)
    - What about this user-defined datatype:

```c
typedef struct TreeNode
{
    int x;
    TreeNode *front, *back;
};
```
Variables without declarations (names)

- Names that bind to values
- **Names don’t have types; values do**
- Python, Perl, Ruby, ...

```
x = 1
x = “hello”
```
Block-Structured Languages

- Nested blocks with local variables

```c
{ int x = 2;
{ int y = 3;
x = y+2;
}
}
```

- Storage management
  - Enter block: allocate space for variables
  - Exit block: some or all space may be deallocated

- new variables declared in nested blocks
- outer block
- inner block
- local variable
- global variable
Blocks in Common Languages

- **Examples**
  - C, JavaScript: `{ ... }
  - Algol: `begin ... end`
  - ML: `let ... in ... end`

- **Two forms of blocks**
  - Inline blocks
  - Blocks associated with functions or procedures
    - We’ll talk about these later

* JavaScript functions provides blocks
Scope and Lifetime

- **Scope**
  - Region of program text where declaration is visible

- **Lifetime**
  - Period of time when location is allocated to program

```c
{ int x = ...;
  { int y = ...;
    { int x = ...;
    ....
    }
  }
};
```

Inner declaration of `x` hides outer one ("hole in scope")

Lifetime of outer `x` includes time when inner block is executed

Lifetime ≠ scope
Inline Blocks

- **Activation record**
  - Data structure stored on **run-time stack**
  - Contains space for local variables

```java
{ int x=0;
  int y=x+1;
  { int z=(x+y)*(x-y);
  }
};
```

Push record with space for `x`, `y`
Set values of `x`, `y`
Push record for inner block
Set value of `z`
Pop record for inner block
Pop record for outer block

May need space for variables and intermediate results like `(x+y)`, `(x-y)`
Activation Record For Inline Block

- Control link
  - Pointer to previous record on stack
- Push record on stack
  - Set new control link to point to old env ptr
  - Set env ptr to new record
- Pop record off stack
  - Follow control link of current record to reset environment pointer

In practice, can be optimized away
Example

```c
{ int x=0;
    int y=x+1;
    { int z=(x+y)*(x-y);
    }
};
```

Push record with space for x, y
Set values of x, y
Push record for inner block
Set value of z
Pop record for inner block
Pop record for outer block
Expressions vs. Statements

- **Expressions: mathematical expressions**
  - $x$
  - $a*(b+c)+d$
  - **No side effects**
  - Evaluate to a value (*pleonasm!*)

- **Statements (or commands)**
  - $x = expr$
  - `writeline(f, line)`
  - Affect/interact with the world (*side effects*)
  - *Executed* rather than *evaluated*
Expressions vs. Statements

- print x
- [1, 2, 3] + [4, 5, 6]
- x = [1, 2, 3]
- readline()
- raise e