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V-Model of Development and Testing

- Develop Requirements
  - Requirements Review
- Develop Acceptance Tests
  - Acceptance Test Review
- Design
  - Design Review
- Develop Integration Tests
  - Integration Tests Review
- Code
  - Code Review
- Develop Unit Tests
  - Unit Tests Review
- Execute System Tests
- Execute Integration Tests
- Execute Unit Tests
Integration Test Plan

◆ Ensures module implementations adhere to assumptions and interfaces as designed
  – Uncovering interactions that highlight problems with assumptions is difficult
◆ Approach
  – Combine more and more modules
  – Use USES hierarchy
    » Work up from level zero
      ◆ Use test harnesses to test each group of modules
    » Work down from highest number
      ◆ Use stubs as mockups to test each group of modules
◆ Can be done during implementation effort
Integration Test Example
Test Harnesses
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ICS 52 Life Cycle

- Requirements phase
  - Verify
- Design phase
  - Verify
- Implementation phase
  - Test
- Testing phase
  - Verify
Design/Implementation Interaction

Design (previous lectures)

Implementation (this lecture)
A Good Design…

…is half the implementation effort!

– Rigor ensures all requirements are addressed
– Separation of concerns
  » Modularity allows work in isolation because components are independent of each other
  » Abstraction allows work in isolation because interfaces guarantee that components will work together
– Anticipation of change allows changes to be absorbed seamlessly
– Generality allows components to be reused throughout the system
– Incrementality allows the software to be developed with intermediate working results
A Bad Design...

- …will never be implemented!
  - Lack of rigor leads to missing functionality
  - Separation of concerns
    » Lack of modularity leads to conflicts among developers
    » Lack of abstraction leads to massive integration problems (and headaches)
  - Lack of anticipation of change leads to redesigns and reimplementations
  - Lack of generality leads to “code bloat”
  - Lack of incrementality leads to a big-bang approach that is likely to “bomb”
From Design to Implementation

- Choose a suitable implementation language
- Establish coding conventions
- Divide work effort
- Implement
  - Code
  - Unit tests
  - Code reviews
  - Inspections
- Perform integration tests
Choose a Suitable Language

- 4th Generation language
  - Databases
  - Visual Basic
  - Forms
- “Real” programming language
  - Java + Class Libraries
  - C++/C + STL (Standard Template Library)
  - Cobol
  - Fortran
- Assembly language
  - Machine specific
Choose a Suitable Language

◆ Maintain the design “picture”
  – Mapping of design elements onto implementation
  – Module inside versus outside
    » Does the language enforce a boundary?
      » Interfaces!
  – Explicit representation of uses relationship
    » Just function calls?

◆ Error handling
  – Return values
  – Exceptions
Establish Coding Conventions

- **Naming**
  - Avoid confusing characters
    - 1, l, L, o, O, 0, S, 5, G, 6
  - Avoid misleading names
  - Avoid names with similar meaning
  - Use capitalization wisely -- and consistently

- **Hungarian notation**
  - Example: pch (pointer to a character)
  - pchFirst (pointer to the first element of an array of characters)
  - mpmipfn

- **Code layout**
  - White space / blank lines
  - Grouping
  - Alignment
  - Indentation
  - Parentheses
Divide Work Effort

- Assign different modules to different developers
  - Assignments can be incremental
  - Assignments change
    » Illness
    » New employees
    » Employees who quit
    » Schedule adjustments
    » Star programmers

- Interfaces are tremendously important
  - “Contracts” among modules
Coding
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Code Optimizations

- Only make optimizations to a cleanly working module if absolutely necessary
  - Performance
  - Memory usage
- Isolate these optimizations
- Document these optimizations

*Empirical evidence has proven that these optimizations are rarely needed and that if they are needed, they are only needed in a few critical places*
Defensive Programming

- Make your code robust and reliable
  - Use assertions
  - Use tracing
  - Handle, do not ignore, exceptions
    » Contain the damage caused
    » Garbage in does not mean garbage out
  - Anticipate changes
  - Check return values
- Plan to be able to remove debugging aids in the final, deliverable version

Do not sacrifice any of these when facing a deadline
Comments

- Self documenting code does not exist!
  - Meaningful variable names, crisp code layout, and small and simple modules all help…
  - …but they are not enough
- Every module needs a description of its purpose
- Every function needs a description of its purpose, input and output parameters, return values, and exceptions
- Every piece of code that remotely may need explanation should be explained
Unit Tests

- Developer tests the code just produced
  - Needs to ensure that the code functions properly before releasing it to the other developers

- Benefits
  - Knows the code best
  - Has easy access to the code

- Drawbacks
  - Bias
    » “I trust my code”
    » “I always write correct code”
  - Blind spots
Code Reviews ("Walk-throughs")

- Developer presents the code to a small group of colleagues
  - Developer describes software
  - Developer describes how it works
    » "Walks through the code"
  - Free-form commentary/questioning by colleagues

- Benefits
  - Many eyes, many minds
  - Effective

- Drawbacks
  - Can lead to problems between developer and colleagues
Inspections

- Developer presents the code to a small group of colleagues
  - Colleagues look for predefined types of errors
    » Checklists
  - Colleagues read code beforehand
  - Moderator leads discussion

- Benefits
  - Avoids personal “attacks”
  - Effective

- Drawbacks
  - Only verifies code with respect to a predefined list of problem areas
Use the Principles

- Rigor and formality
- Separation of concerns
  - Modularity
  - Abstraction
- Anticipation of change
- Generality
- Incrementality