CS206P Assignment #2 - squares and square roots

Those who submit their write-up written in LaTeX will receive a 10% bonus over those who hand-write their solutions.

In that case please electronically submit in both a PDF and the original LaTeX; otherwise submit paper only. Everybody must submit paper even if you do it in LaTeX, and everybody must submit their code both electronically and on paper.

1.a) Analyze the error propagation when computing the **square** of a number. That is, assume t is a real number represented as $fl(t) = t \cdot (1 + \delta_0) = x_0$ in a floating point system. We would like to compute the square $f(t) = t^2$ in the floating-point system. In practice, we compute $f(x_0)$. Find an upper bound for the absolute value of the relative error in $f(x_0)$. Note that the relative error in $f(x_0)$ does not include any error in the representation of $f(x_0)$.

1.b) Let δ_k denote the relative error in squaring t, k times in a floating-point system, ie $x_k = t^{(2^k)}(1+\delta_k)$, where $x_k = fl(f(x_{k-1}))$. Show that $|\delta_k| \leq 2|\delta_{k-1}| + E$ where E is the machine epsilon. Then show by induction that $|\delta_k| \leq 2^k |\delta_0| + (2^k - 1)E$. Note that the error δ_k for k > 0 is due to both the computation and the representation of the result.

2.a) Analyze the error propagation when computing the square root of a number. That is, do the same as in 1.a) but with $f(t) = \sqrt{t}$.

2.b) Let δ_k denote the relative error in taking the square root of t, k times in a floating-point system. That is, $x_k = t^{1/2^k}(1 + \delta_k)$ where $x_k = fl(f(x_{k-1}))$. Show that $|\delta_k| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\delta_{k-1}| + E$ where E is the machine epsilon. Then show by induction that

$$|\delta_k| \le \frac{1}{2^k} |\delta_0| + (2 - \frac{1}{2^{k-1}})E.$$

3. Given some value of x_0 and some value of y_0 we may for some positive integer N define the finite sequences

(i)
$$x_k = x_{k-1}^2, \quad k = 1, \dots, N$$
 (1)

(*ii*)
$$y_k = \sqrt{y_{k-1}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N$$
 (2)

(3)

Consider the following two experiments, where α is assumed to be an arbitrary small positive (real) number that is larger than the machine epsilon.

Experiment 1: Set $x_0 = t = 1 + \alpha$ and compute x_N by applying (i) N times. Then set $y_0 = x_N$ and compute y_N by applying (ii) N times.

Experiment 2: Reverse the order of (i) and (ii): Set $y_0 = t = 1 + \alpha$ and compute y_N by applying (ii) N times; then set $x_0 = y_N$ and compute x_N by applying (i) N times.

The mathematical result is expected to be the same in both cases: you should end up back at $t = 1 + \alpha$, but the computed results are likely to be different due to machine precision issues.

3.a) Set $\alpha = 2.37 \times 10^{-7}$ and use double-precision in your favourite language. Try both the above experiments for N ranging all values 1 through 30. For the final values of y_N and x_N in experiments 1 and 2, respectively and for every value of N, compute the error $y_N - t$ and $x_N - t$. Tabulate the results so that each line in the table corresponds to a value of N. Comment on how the error behaves during each experiment as N increases.

3.b) Let $\delta_k^{(i)}$ denote the relative error in x_k , and let $\delta_k^{(ii)}$ denote the relative error in y_k , and δ_0 denote the relative error in the representation x_0 of $t = 1 + \alpha$. Use the results of question **1**. above to obtain bounds for the errors $y_N - t$ and $x_N - t$ in the two experiments. Comment on whether these bounds agree with what was observed in 3.a.

Note: in 3.b), x_k and y_k are floating point numbers. Errors $\delta_k^{(i)}$ and $\delta_k^{(ii)}$ for k > 0 are due to both the respective computations and their representations.