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Introduction

• Your Other You

• 2009 Campaign by Toyota (Saatchi & Saatchi) to market the Matrix
Introduction: Your Other You

- YourOtherYou is a unique interactive experience enabling consumers to play extravagant pranks. Simply input a little info about a friend (phone, address, etc.) and we’ll then use it, without their knowledge, to freak them out through a series of dynamically personalized phone calls, texts, emails and videos. First, one of five virtual lunatics will contact your friend. They will seem to know them intimately, and tell them that they are driving cross-country to visit. It all goes downhill from there. The Matrix integrates seamlessly into the experience and you can follow the progress of your prank in real-time online. Each piece of the campaign assures that the experience is as Google-proof as possible.
Woman Sues Toyota Over 'Terrifying' Prank

Lawsuit Claims Woman Believed She Was Being Stalked Thanks to Toyota's Marketing Prank

By CHAUNCEY ALCORN

Oct. 9, 2009...

A Los Angeles woman is suing Toyota for $10 million over a marketing campaign that she claims "punked" her into incorrectly believing she was being stalked.

In a lawsuit filed Sept. 28 in Los Angeles Superior Court, Amber Duick claims she had difficulty eating, sleeping and going to work during March and April of last year after she received e-mails for five days from a fictitious man called Sebastian Bowler, from England, who said he was on the run from the law, knew her and where she lived, and was coming to her home to hide from the police.

There was even a fictitious MySpace page reportedly created for Bowler.

Although Bowler did not have Duick's current address, he sent her links to his MySpace page as well as links to video clips of him causing trouble all over the country on his way to her former house in Los Angeles, according to the lawsuit.

"Amber mate! Coming 2 Los Angeles. Gonna lay low at your place for a bit till it all blows over," the man wrote in one e-mail.

Fictitious Man Claimed He Knew Alleged Victim, and Was Coming to Her House

Duick's attorney said the marketing company went so far as to send Duick a bill for damages the fictitious man supposedly made to a hotel room.

"Amber, rat into a little problem at the hotel," a note with the invoice stated. "After I'm done visiting you, I'm going to go back and sort out that front desk Muppet."

The alleged harassment lasted five days, according to the suit, and frightened Duick so much she contacted neighbors, friends and family, and the occupant of her former home about the man she feared was coming to visit. Her attorney declined to comment as to whether or not she called the police.

She even made her longtime boyfriend sleep with a club and rame next to the bed for protection.

"As a result of the e-mails, [Duick] found it extremely difficult to work, and her job performance suffered," the complaint said. "[She] was unable to perform her job duties at standard levels."

It turns out the prank was actually part of a marketing effort executed by the Los Angeles division of global marketing agency Saatchi & Saatchi, which created the campaign to promote the Toyota Matrix, a new model launched in 2008.

Woman Sues Toyota Over Prank Marketing Campaign

Duick claims she was ridiculed by those she contacted about the fictitious man from England after they found out it was a prank, but to her it was no laughing matter.

Her attorney, Nick Tepper, said the Matrix campaign was similar to "Punk'd," a former MTV show starring Ashton Kutcher that had been criticized by a New York Times column in 2003.
Introduction: Your Other You

TOYOTA MATRIX YOUR OTHER YOU

Your Other You is a unique interactive experience enabling consumers to play extravagant pranks. Simply input a little info about a friend (phone, address, etc.) and we'll then use it, without their knowledge, to mess them up through a series of dynamically personalized phone calls, texts, emails and videos. First, one of five virtual lunatics will contact your friend. They will seem to know them intimately, and tell them that they are driving cross-country to visit. It all goes downhill from there. The Matrix integrates seamlessly into the experience and you can follow the process of your prank in real-time online. Each piece of the campaign assures that the experience is as Google-proof as possible.

YOUROTHEYOU.COM

Banners
A series of bizarre banners referencing different elements within the game were created to surprise viewers and ultimately drive traffic to the site.

Video Banners
Videos from each character ran as pre and post-roll on a variety of niche sites such as Adult Swim and IFC. They were also syndicated on sites like YouTube.com, heavy.com, spike, ebuzzworld and funnyor_die.com, generating millions of views to date.
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The idea of a clearly delineated magic circle helps to mark different social rules that everyone is expected to understand.

But pervasive games blur the magic circle, making appropriate play complicated.
Social: Ethics

• Within the magic circle you get to do things that would otherwise not be allowed

• You are freed from consequences

  • sort of

• ludic markers delineate the circle
“The game of Diplomacy I won, I won through an enforceable side contract (which lost me a friend and got me some accusations of cheating, but this is par for the course for a good Diplomacy game). I was Britain; my friend H was France. H and I knew each other from an medieval times role-playing game, in which we both held land and money. The medieval kingdom of this game had a law on the books that any oath witnessed by a noble was binding on both parties and would be enforced by the king. So H and I went into our role-playing game and swore an oath before a cooperative noble, declaring that we would both aid each other in a permanent alliance in Diplomacy, or else all our in-game lands and titles would be forfeit.”
Social: Ethics

- Usually social norms are negotiated through various mechanisms
  - laws
  - politics / history
  - stories
  - taking action

- In secretive pervasive games, not everyone knows what’s going on and can’t participate in establishing norms
transgressive communities

- communities that transgress norms (typically laws)
- ethics and laws aren’t necessarily in alignment
- but you break the law at great risk
- Martin Luther King Jr. argued that you have a moral obligation to break unjust laws
- Some “games” express this
- Quote from Ninjalicious
- “dignity”
As a player, you need to have a clear sense of your ethics, so that when you come to legal and ethical boundaries you maintain your integrity.

Integrity

- Thinking about what is right and wrong
- Acting on what is right
- Telling others why you have done so
Social: Ethics: Obfuscation of Consequences

- It is difficult on pervasive games to understand consequences of actions
- Two worlds
  - ordinary world
  - game world
- It is difficult to be ethical without understanding the consequences
- It is irresponsible to deliberately impair your ability to understand consequences of actions (e.g., getting high)
Social: Ethics: Obfuscation of Consequences

- When could it be difficult to understand the consequences of a game action?
Social: Ethics: Division of Accountability

- If Game Masters are deliberately blurring the magic circle
- If Players knowingly enter into the illusion
- Who is responsible for ordinary world consequences?
Social: Ethics: Division of Accountability

- Wanderer
  - parkour inspired GPS game
  - You travel at constant speeds in the direction indicated by the game.
  - You get points if you turn when told to turn (randomly)
Many games require players to give up privacy
  • surveillance, etc.

Ethical considerations suggest that players should consent

But if players are told everything that is going to happen, the game can be ruined
  • If you know that things are triggered based on heart rate, then the mystery is gone

How can you provide consent for unknown game structures?
Even “consenting” may not be sufficient
- If you don’t understand the implications, then it isn’t “informed consent”

Mitigating strategies
- Game Master hotline
- safe words
- clear out-of-game locations (headquarters)
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Social: Ethics: Unaware Participation

- People who aren’t playing *are* participating
- Mitigation:
  - Secret life strategy: You are your ordinary self, but you also have a secret double identity
  - Limits design space
- This is most problematic when games stage disturbing scenes
  - fake murders
  - fake kidnappings
People who aren’t playing *are* participating

Mitigation:

- Clear ludic markers:
  - Costumes
  - Video cameras
  - Sometimes this isn’t enough:
    - “War of the Worlds” radio
Social: Ethics: Unaware Participation

- Offenses, Harms and Nuisances caused by games
  - Offenses:
    - Sensory irritation
    - Bad manners
    - Contempt for other people
    - Indecency
  - Harmful vs. Nuisance
    - “lasting setback to one’s assets”
  - Consider “risk” as well
  - DUI for example
Social: Ethics: Unaware Participation

- Invitations
  - Socially expanded games invite non-players to move from participation to playing
    - Watch
    - Help
    - Referee
    - Play
  - Can you refuse to play?
  - Is that refusal meaningful? (do you have to repeat?)
Social: Ethics: Unaware Participation

• Invasions
  • Not everyone *can* refuse
    • Emergency personnel
    • Maintenance staff
  • Someone is always responsible for maintaining order
    • If a non-player calls about being afraid of a LARP
      • there was no refusal possible
• Mitigation
  • Tell authorities before hand
Social: Ethics: Unaware Participation

- Invasions
  - Sometimes invasion is the point
    - Surprise birthday party
    - Political statement
    - Artistic game
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Case: Vem gråter

Being a reality game, Vem gråter was not a game at all, but rather a piece of fabricated reality closer to candid camera – the participants of the game were not aware that the events portrayed were ludic or designed. It created a series of events with hidden clues allowing the participants to dig deeper into the mystery, a ghost story based on historic events. A set of staged paranormal events was carried out at the university, including a tape recorder hidden in a ventilation shaft, sending out sounds of a crying child, a tower of furniture built overnight, and coal scribbles on a wall. The installations were staged late in the evenings when the premises were relatively empty. The game also featured an actor pretending to be an occult investigator, fabricated web sites, announcements at university bulletin boards and a set of hidden clues around the town intended for the people solving the riddle. In practice Vem gråter went haywire, since the people encountering it (mainly the maintenance staff at the school) considered it rather as vandalism than a poltergeist mystery. It also demonstrated the unsuitability of horror themes in games that might occasionally not be recognized as games. (for more info, see Montola et al 2006)
Social: Ethics: Case Study

• Was it:
  • a cool mystery puzzle
  • pranks and vandalism
  • an actual crazy guy wandering around campus
  • real, the ghosts were real the university was haunted
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Societal Context of Play

Where a game is played, when a game is played matters

pre / post 9/11

Momentum’s technomagical demonstration

Abstract tours vandalism
Social: Ethics: Games as Art

• Games as Art: Banksy