CS 163 & CS 265: Graph Algorithms

Week 10: Planar graphs

Lecture 26: Properties

David Eppstein

University of California, Irvine

Fall Quarter, 2022

Examples of planar and nonplanar graphs

The three utilities problem

Input: three houses and three utilities, placed in the plane

How to draw lines connecting each house to each utility, without crossings (and without passing through other houses or utilities)?

There is no solution!

If you try it, you will get stuck. . .

But this is not a satisfactory proof of impossibility

Other surfaces have solutions

If we place the houses and utilities on a torus (for instance, a coffee cup including the handle) we will be able to solve it

So solution depends somehow on the topology of the plane

Planar graphs

A planar graph is a graph that can be drawn without crossings in the plane

The graph we are trying to draw in this case is $K_{3,3}$, a complete bipartite graph with three houses and three utilities

It is not a planar graph; the best we can do is to have only one crossing

Examples of planar graphs: trees and grid graphs

Examples of planar graphs: convex polyhedra

Mostly but not entirely planar: road networks

https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:High_Five.jpg

[Eppstein and Gupta 2017]

Properties

Some properties of planar graphs

- **Euler's formula**: If a connected planar graph has $n = V$ vertices, $m = E$ edges, and divides the plane into F faces (regions, including the region outside the drawing) then $V - E + F = 2$

- Simple planar graphs have $\leq 3n - 6$ edges
  $\Rightarrow$ their degeneracy is ≤ 5

- **Four-color theorem**: Can be colored with ≤ 4 colors (Appel and Haken [1976]; degeneracy-based greedy gives ≤ 6)

- **Planar separator theorem**: treewidth is $O(\sqrt{n})$ (Lipton and Tarjan [1979]; $\Rightarrow$ faster algorithms for many problems, e.g. shortest paths $O(n)$ [Henzinger et al. 1997], weighted matching $O(n^{3/2})$ [Eppstein 2017])

Some of the history of Euler's formula

Stated in 1537 for the five regular polyhedra by Francesco Maurolico (unpublished) [Friedman 2018]

Related formulas for polyhedra (but not Euler's formula itself) given by René Descartes in 1630, not published, accidentally dumped into the river Seine after Descartes' death, rescued, copied by Leibniz before being lost again, copy also lost, finally rediscovered in 1860 [Federico 1982]

Announced 1750, published 1758 by Euler but with a buggy proof

First correct proof published by Legendre in 1794

There are many proofs; the one we will see (later this lecture) is by von Staudt, 1847

Euler's formula implies few edges

Euler's formula: $V - E + F = 2$
$\iff F = E - V + 2$

Every face has ≥ 3 sides, and every edge forms exactly two sides of faces, so

$2E = \#$ sides of faces $\geq 3F$

Use inequality to eliminate F:
$(2/3)E \geq E - V + 2$

Simplify: $E \leq 3V - 6$

$\Rightarrow K_5$ is not planar

$V = 5$ and $E = 10 = 3V - 5$, too many edges

Even fewer for bipartite planar graphs

$\Rightarrow K_{3,3}$ is not planar

Euler's formula: $V - E + F = 2$
$\Longleftrightarrow F = E - V + 2$

In bipartite graphs, every face
has ≥ 4 sides, so
$2E = \#$ sides of faces $\geq 4F$

Use inequality to eliminate F:
$(2/4)E \geq E - V + 2$

Simplify: $E \leq 2V - 4$

$V = 6$ and $E = 9 = 2V - 3$,
too many edges

Kuratowski's theorem

A graph is planar if and only if it does not have a subgraph formed from $K_{3,3}$ or K_5 by subdividing edges into paths

So a certifying algorithm (week 3) can certify that a graph is planar by drawing it, or that it is not by finding one of these subgraphs

[Kuratowski 1930; Frink and Smith 1930; Menger 1930]

Duality

Dual graphs

Start with a "primal" graph, drawn without crossings in the plane

Draw a new "dual" graph with a dual vertex in the middle of each primal face

Connect two dual vertices by an edge when the corresponding primal faces share an edge

It's planar again, and the dual of the dual is the starting primal graph

It's a property of the drawing, not the graph

A given planar graph may have multiple different ways it can be drawn without crossings, with different duals

The blue primal graphs are the same; its red duals are different (the left one has a degree-5 vertex; the right one does not)

Duality ⇒ Euler

In a planar graph, every cycle surrounds a set of faces ⟺ its dual edges form a cut separating some vertices from the rest

Spanning tree has no cycles and is not cut by non-tree edges ⟺ its dual complement is also a spanning tree!

Spanning tree has $V - 1$ edges and dual complement spanning tree has $F - 1$ edges, so total is $E = (V - 1) + (F - 1)$

More dual properties

In a planar graph with an Euler tour (all degrees even), color the faces dark if they are surrounded an odd # of times by the tour, light otherwise $\iff$ 2-coloring of the dual graph

Primal graph is Eulerian $\iff$ dual graph is bipartite

Morals of the story

Planar graphs are important in applications where we want to visualize graphs (because crossings can make drawings hard to read) or for road networks or polyhedra where they naturally form

Some of their main properties (few edges, low degeneracy, low treewidth) can help in finding fast algorithms for these graphs

Many problems have natural duals (e.g. Euler tour $\Leftrightarrow$ 2-coloring), can be used to transform problems into a different form that might be more recognizable

Duality of spanning trees $\Rightarrow$ Euler's formula $\Rightarrow$ number of edges

References I

K. Appel and W. Haken. Every planar map is four colorable. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 82(5):711–712, 1976. doi:10.1090/S0002-9904-1976-14122-5.

David Eppstein. Maximizing the sum of radii of disjoint balls or disks. *Journal of Computational Geometry*, 8(1):316–339, 2017. doi:10.20382/jocg.v8i1a12.

David Eppstein and Siddharth Gupta. Crossing patterns in nonplanar road networks. In Erik G. Hoel, Shawn D. Newsam, Siva Ravada, Roberto Tamassia, and Goce Trajcevski, editors, *Proc. 25th ACM SIGSPATIAL Int. Conf. Advances in Geographic Information Systems (SIGSPATIAL 2017)*, pages 40:1–40:9, 2017. doi:10.1145/3139958.3139999.

Leonhard Euler. Elementa doctrinae solidorum. *Novi Commentarii academiae scientiarum Petropolitanae*, 4:109–140, 1758a. URL https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/euler-works/230/. Presented to the St. Petersburg Academy in 1752.

References II

Leonhard Euler. Demonstratio nonnullarum insignium proprietatum, quibus solida hedris planis inclusa sunt praedita. *Novi Commentarii academiae scientiarum Petropolitanae*, 4:140–160, 1758b. URL https://scholarlycommons.pacific.edu/euler-works/231/. Presented to the St. Petersburg Academy in 1750.

Pasquale Joseph Federico. *Descartes on polyhedra: A study of the De solidorum elementis*, volume 4 of *Sources in the History of Mathematics and Physical Sciences*. Springer, 1982.

Michael Friedman. *A History of Folding in Mathematics: Mathematizing the Margins*. Birkhäuser, 2018. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-72487-4.

Orrin Frink and Paul A. Smith. Irreducible non-planar graphs. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 36:214, 1930.

Monika R. Henzinger, Philip Klein, Satish Rao, and Sairam Subramanian. Faster shortest-path algorithms for planar graphs. *J. Comput. System Sci.*, 55(1):3–23, 1997. doi:10.1006/jcss.1997.1493.

Kazimierz Kuratowski. Sur le problème des courbes gauches en topologie. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 15:271–283, 1930. URL https://matwbn.icm.edu.pl/ksiazki/fm/fm15/fm15126.pdf.

References III

A. M. Legendre. *Élements de géométrie*. 1794.

Richard J. Lipton and Robert E. Tarjan. A separator theorem for planar graphs. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 36(2):177–189, 1979. doi:10.1137/0136016.

Karl Menger. Über plättbare Dreiergraphen und Potenzen nichtplättbarer Graphen. *Anzeiger der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien*, 67: 85–86, 1930.

G. K. C. Von Staudt. *Geometrie der Lage*. 1847.