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Inspiration: Steinitz’s theorem

Purely combinatorial characterization of geometric objects:

Graphs of convex polyhedra are exactly the 3-vertex-connected planar graphs

Image: Kluka [2006]
Overview

Cracked surfaces, bubble foams, and crumpled paper also form natural graph-like structures.

What properties do these graphs have?
How can we recognize and synthesize them?
1. Cracks and Needles
Motorcycle graphs: Canonical quad mesh partitioning

Paper at SGP’08 [Eppstein et al. 2008]

Problem: partition irregular quad-mesh into regular submeshes

Inspiration: Light cycle game from TRON movies
Mesh partitioning method

Grow cut paths outwards from each irregular (non-degree-4) vertex
Cut paths continue straight across regular (degree-4) vertices
They stop when they run into another path

Result: approximation to optimal partition
(exact optimum is NP-complete)
Mesh-free motorcycle graphs

Earlier...

Motorcycles move from initial points with given velocities
When they hit trails of other motorcycles, they crash

[Eppstein and Erickson 1999]
Application of mesh-free motorcycle graphs

Initially: A simplified model of the inward movement of reflex vertices in straight skeletons, a rectilinear variant of medial axes with applications including building roof construction, folding and cutting problems, surface interpolation, geographic analysis, and mesh construction

Later: Subroutine for constructing straight skeletons of simple polygons [Cheng and Vigneron 2007; Huber and Held 2012]

Image: Huber [2012]
Construction of mesh-free motorcycle graphs

Main ideas:
Define asymmetric distance:
Time when one motorcycle would crash into another’s trail
Repeatedly find closest pair and eliminate crashed motorcycle

$O(n^{17/11+\epsilon})$ [Eppstein and Erickson 1999]
Improved to $O(n^{4/3+\epsilon})$ [Vigneron and Yan 2014]
Additional log speedup using mutual nearest neighbors instead of closest pairs [Mamano et al. 2019]
Gilbert tessellation

Even earlier...

Gilbert [1967]:

Choose random points in the plane
Start \textit{two} motorcycles in opposite (random) directions and equal speeds at each point
Form the motorcycle graph as before
Modeling the growth of needle-like crystals

(Gilbert’s original motivation)
Cracks in dried mud

“Most mudcrack patterns in nature topologically resemble” Gilbert tesselations [Gray et al. 1976]

Image: Grobe [2007]
Combinatorial structure of a Gilbert tessellation

Represent as a graph:

Vertex for each segment

Edge for each crash
Contact graphs

Vertices = non-overlapping geometric objects of some type
Edges = pairs that touch but do not overlap

E.g. Koebe–Andreev–Thurston circle packing theorem:
Planar graphs are exactly the contact graphs of disks
Contact graphs of line segments

These graphs are:

Planar

(2, 3)-sparse

(Each \( k \)-vertex subgraph has at most \( 2k - 3 \) edges)

- \( 2k \) because each segment has 2 ends
- \(-3\) because the convex hull has 3 vertices
Recognizing $(2, 3)$-sparse graphs

Pebble game:
Start with all vertices, no edges, 2 pebbles/vertex
If a missing edge has $> 3$ pebbles, remove one pebble and draw edge directed away from removed pebble
If you need more pebbles, pull them backwards along directed paths, reversing the path edges
If $(2, 3)$-sparse, draws all edges
If not: will get stuck

[Lee and Streinu 2008]
Theorem: **Contact graphs of line segments are exactly the planar \((2,3)\)-sparse graphs**

Proof outline:

Edge directions from pebbling indicate which motorcycle crashed into which trail

Embed the graph using Tutte spring embedding

Straighten segments using infinitesimal weights

\((2,3)\)-sparsity \(\Rightarrow\) cannot degenerate to a line

[Thomassen 1993; de Fraysseix and Ossona de Mendez 2004]

(With planar separators, can pebble and recognize in time \(O(n^{3/2})\))
Gilbert tessellations with restricted angles

E.g., random points with axis-aligned pairs of motorcycles:

Mackisack and Miles [1996]; Burridge et al. [2013]

Image: Rocchini [2012a]
Replicator chaos

In 2d cellular automata that support 1d puffers or replicators (here B017/S1, possibly also Conway’s Game of Life), sparse initial state $\Rightarrow$ space fills with trails [Eppstein 2010]
Recognizing axis-parallel contact graphs

Contact graphs of axis-parallel segments = planar bipartite graphs

[Hartman et al. 1991]
Not fully characterized: Circular arcs

[Alam et al. 2015]
Back to Gilbert tessellations

Segment contact graphs: Fully characterized
Gilbert tessellation graphs are almost the same, but…

When there are fewer than $2n - 3$ edges, when can segment endpoints be forced to lie on convex hull?

When all cracks grow at equal speed, does this impose additional combinatorial constraints?
II. Bubbles and Foams
Soap bubbles and soap bubble foams

Soap molecules form double layers separating thin films of water from pockets of air

A familiar physical system that produces complicated arrangements of curved surfaces, edges, and vertices

What can we say about the mathematics of these structures?

Image: woodleywonderworks [2007]
Plateau’s laws

In every soap bubble cluster:

- Each surface has constant mean curvature
- Triples of surfaces meet along curves at 120° angles
- These curves meet in groups of four at equal angles

Observed in 19th c. by Joseph Plateau
Proved by Taylor [1976]
Young–Laplace equation

For each surface in a soap bubble cluster:

\[
\text{mean curvature} = \frac{1}{\text{pressure difference}}
\]

(with surface tension as constant of proportionality)

Formulated in 19th c., by Thomas Young and Pierre-Simon Laplace
Planar soap bubbles

3d is too complicated, let’s restrict to two dimensions

Equivalently, form 3d bubbles between parallel glass plates

Bubble surfaces are at right angles to the plates, so all 2d cross sections look the same as each other

Image: Keller [2002]
In every planar soap bubble cluster:

- Each curve is an arc of a circle or a line segment
- Each vertex is the endpoint of three curves at $120^\circ$ angles
- It is possible to assign pressures to the bubbles so that curvature is inversely proportional to pressure difference
For arcs meeting at 120° angles, the following three conditions are equivalent:

- We can find pressures matching all curvatures
- Triples of circles have collinear centers
- Triples of circles form a “double bubble” with two triple crossing points
Möbius transformations

Fractional linear transformations

\[ z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \]

in the plane of complex numbers

Take circles to circles and do not change angles between curves

Plateau’s laws and the double bubble reformulation of Young–Laplace only involve circles and angles

so the Möbius transform of a bubble cluster is another valid bubble cluster
Bubble clusters don’t have bridges

(Bridge: same face on both sides of an edge.)

Image: Unknown [1940]

Main ideas of proof:

- A bridge that is not straight violates the pressure condition
- A straight bridge can be transformed to a curved one that again violates the pressure condition
For planar graphs with three edges per vertex and no bridges, we can always find a valid bubble cluster realizing that graph.

Main ideas of proof:

1. Partition into 3-connected components and handle each component independently
2. Use Koebe–Andreev–Thurston circle packing to find a system of circles whose tangencies represent the dual graph
3. Construct a novel type of Möbius-invariant power diagram of these circles, defined using 3d hyperbolic geometry
4. Use symmetry and Möbius invariance to show that cell boundaries are circular arcs satisfying the angle and pressure conditions that define soap bubbles
Step 1: Partition into 3-connected components

For graphs that are not 3-regular or 3-connected, decompose into smaller subgraphs, draw them separately, and glue them together.

The decomposition uses \textit{SPQR trees}, standard in graph drawing.

Use Möbius transformations in the gluing step to change relative sizes of arcs so that the subgraphs fit together without overlaps.
After the previous step we have a 3-connected 3-regular graph. The Koebe–Andreev–Thurston circle packing theorem guarantees the existence of a circle for each face, so circles of adjacent faces are tangent, other circles are disjoint. Can be constructed by efficient numerical algorithms [Collins and Stephenson 2003].
Step 3a: Hyperbolic Voronoi diagram

Embed the plane in 3d, with a hemisphere above each face circle

Use the space above the plane as a model of hyperbolic geometry, and partition it into subsets nearer to one hemisphere than another
Step 3b: Möbius-invariant power diagram

Restrict the 3d Voronoi diagram to the plane containing the circles (the plane at infinity of the hyperbolic space).

Symmetries of hyperbolic space restrict to Möbius transformations of the plane $\Rightarrow$ diagram is invariant under Möbius transformations.
Step 4: By symmetry, these are soap bubbles

Each three mutually tangent circles can be transformed to have equal radii, centered at the vertices of an equilateral triangle.

By symmetry, the power diagram boundaries are straight rays (limiting case of circular arcs with infinite radius), meeting at 120° angles (Plateau’s laws).

Setting all pressures equal fulfils the Young–Laplace equation on pressure and curvature.
Bubble conclusions

Bubble graphs = planar 2-connected 3-regular graphs

Can be recognized and constructed in polynomial time

Also useful in network visualization (*Lombardi drawing*)

Depicted: a 46-vertex non-Hamiltonian graph from Grinberg [1968]
III. Crumple and Folds
Patterns in crumpled paper

Studied experimentally [Andresen et al. 2007] (e.g. ridge lengths appear to obey power laws) but not well-understood theoretically.
A discrete model of paper folding

Fold a piece of paper arbitrarily so that it lies flat again (without crumpling)
A discrete model of paper folding

Unfold it again and look at the creases from its folded state

--- = mountain fold

--- = valley fold
A discrete model of paper folding

It looks like a graph!
A discrete model of paper folding

It looks like a graph!

So, what graphs can you get in this way?
Local constraints at each vertex

Maekawa’s theorem: at interior vertices,

$$|\# \text{ mountain folds} - \# \text{ valley folds}| = 2$$

So all vertex degrees must be even and \( \geq 4 \)

[Murata 1966; Justin 1986]
More local constraints at each vertex

Kawasaki’s theorem: at interior vertices, total angle facing up = total angle facing down (alternating sum of angles must be zero)

[Robertson 1977; Justin 1986; Kawasaki 1989]

Unclear what effect this has on combinatorial structure
Local constraints are not enough

Even 4-regular trees meeting the angle conditions might not be foldable \cite{Hull 1994}

Central diagonal cross forces two opposite creases to nest tightly inside each other

Additional folds on the outer nested crease bump into the inner nested crease
...but all even-degree trees are realizable

Tree $T$ is realizable with internal vertices interior to paper and leaves on boundary $\iff$ all internal degrees are even and $\geq 4$

[Eppstein 2018]
Main idea of tree realization

Construct tree top-down from root
Maintain buffer zones to prevent creases from nearing each other
Alternative graph model for infinite paper

Instead of interpreting infinite rays as leaves, add a special vertex at infinity as their shared endpoint

...so trees become series-parallel multigraphs
Some combinatorial constraints

The graphs of flat folding patterns with a vertex at infinity are:

- 2-vertex-connected
- 4-edge-connected
- not separable by removal of any 3 finite vertices

Proof ideas:
convexity of subdivision
rigidity of triangles

[An unrealizable graph]

[Eppstein 2018]
Return to finite paper sizes

A different simplifying assumption:
All vertices are on the boundary of the paper

This triangle cannot be folded flat
(the three corners get in each others’ way)
Characterization of boundary-vertex graphs

On circular or square paper, every folding pattern without interior vertices can be flat folded [Eppstein 2018]

Corollary: All outerplanar graphs are realizable on circular paper
Summary

Complete characterization of contact graphs of segments

Inspiration for mesh partitioning, roof design

Combinatorial model missing some features of Gilbert tessellations

Complete characterization of graphs of 2d soap bubble foams

Application to network visualization

What about 3d?

Incomplete characterizations of graphs of flat foldings

Connections to mechanical design, nanostructures
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