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## The Greedy Method
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## Application: Web Auctions

- Suppose you are designing a new online auction website that is intended to process bids for multi-lot auctions.
- This website should be able to handle a single auction for 100 units of the same digital camera or 500 units of the same smartphone, where bids are of the form, "x units for $\$ \mathbf{y}$," meaning that the bidder wants a quantity of $x$ of the items being sold and is willing to pay $\$ y$ for all $x$ of them.
- The challenge for your website is that it must allow for a large number of bidders to place such multi-lot bids and it must decide which bidders to choose as the winners.
- Naturally, one is interested in designing the website so that it always chooses a set of winning bids that maximizes the total amount of money paid for the items being auctioned.
- So how do you decide which bidders to choose as the winners?


## The Greedy Method

- The greedy method is a general algorithm design paradigm, built on the following elements:
- configurations: different choices, collections, or values to find
- objective function: a score assigned to configurations, which we want to either maximize or minimize
- It works best when applied to problems with the greedy-choice property:
- a globally-optimal solution can always be found by a series of local improvements from a starting configuration.
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## The Greedy Method

The sequence of choices starts from some well-understood starting configuration, and then iteratively makes the decision that is best from all of those that are currently possible, in terms of improving the objective function.


## Web Auction Application

- This greedy strategy works for the profit-maximizing online auction problem if you can satisfy a bid to buy $x$ units for $\$ y$ by selling $\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{x}$ units for $\$ \mathrm{yk} / \mathrm{x}$.
- In this case, this problem is equivalent to the fractional knapsack problem.
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## Web Auctions and the Fractional Knapsack Problem

- In the knapsack problem, we are given a set of n items, each having a weight and a benefit, and we are interested in choosing the set of items that maximize our total benefit while not going over the weight capacity of the knapsack.
- In the web auction application, each bid is an item, with its "weight" being the number of units being requested and its benefit being the amount of money being offered.
- In the instance, where bids can be satisfied with a partial fulfillment, then it is an instance of the fractional knapsack problem, for which the greedy method works to find an optimal solution.
- Interestingly, for the " $0-1$ " version of the problem, where fractional choices are not allowed, then the greedy method may not work and the problem is potentially very difficult to solve in polynomial time.


## The Fractional Knapsack Problem

- Given: A set S of $n$ items, with each item i having
- $b_{i}$ - a positive benefit
- $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ - a positive weight
- Goal: Choose items with maximum total benefit but with weight at most W.
- If we are allowed to take fractional amounts, then this is the fractional knapsack problem.
- In this case, we let $x_{i}$ denote the amount we take of item i
- Objective: maximize $\sum_{i \in S} b_{i}\left(x_{i} / w_{i}\right)$
- Constraint:

$$
\sum_{\in S} x_{i} \leq W
$$

## Example

- Given: A set S of $n$ items, with each item i having
- $b_{i}$ - a positive benefit
- $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}$ - a positive weight
- Goal: Choose items with maximum total benefit but with weight at most W.


## Items:



Weight: 4 ml
$\begin{array}{rccccc}\text { Benefit: } & \$ 12 & \$ 32 & \$ 40 & \$ 30 & \$ 50 \\ \text { Value: } & 3 & 4 & 20 & 5 & 50\end{array}$


8 ml
2 ml
6 ml 1 ml

Greedy Method


Solution:

- 1 ml of 5
- 2 ml of 3
- 6 ml of 4
- 1 ml of 2

10 ml

## The Fractional Knapsack Algorithm

- Greedy choice: Keep taking item with highest value (benefit to weight ratio)
- Since $\sum_{i=1} b_{i}\left(x_{i} / w_{i}\right)=\sum_{==1}\left(b_{i} / w_{i}\right) x_{i}$
- Run time: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{n})$. Why?
- Correctness: Suppose there is a better solution
- there is an item i with higher value than a chosen item $j$, but $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}>0$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}$
- If we substitute some i with $j$, we get a better solution
- How much of i: $\min \left\{w_{i}-x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}$
- Thus, there is no better solution than the greedy one

Algorithm fractionalKnapsack(S, W)
Input: set $\boldsymbol{S}$ of items w/ benefit $b_{i}$ and weight $w_{i}$; max. weight $W$
Output: amount $x_{i}$ of each item $i$
to maximize benefit w/ weight at most $W$
for each item $i$ in $S$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\qquad x_{i} \leftarrow 0 & \\
v_{i} \leftarrow b_{i} / w_{i} & \text { \{value\} } \\
w \leftarrow 0 & \text { \{total weight }\} \\
\text { while } w<W & \\
\text { remove item } i w / \text { highest } v_{i} \\
x_{i} \leftarrow \min \left\{w_{i}, W-w\right\} \\
w & \leftarrow w+\min \left\{w_{i}, W-w\right\} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$



## Analysis of Greedy Algorithm for Fractional Knapsack Problem

- We can sort the items by their benefit-to-weight values, and then process them in this order.
- This would require $O(n \log n)$ time to sort the items and then $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ time to process them in the while-loop.
* To see that our algorithm is correct, suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is an optimal solution better than the one chosen by this greedy algorithm.
- Then there must be two items $i$ and $j$ such that

$$
x_{i}<w_{i}, x_{j}>0, \text { and } v_{i}>v_{j} .
$$

- Let $\mathrm{y}=\min \left\{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$.
- But then we could replace an amount $y$ of item $j$ with an equal amount of item i, thus increasing the total benefit without changing the total weight, which contradicts the assumption that this non-greedy solution is optimal.


## Task Scheduling

- Given: a set T of $n$ tasks, each having:

- A start time, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- A finish time, $f_{i}$ (where $s_{i}<f_{i}$ )
- Goal: Perform all the tasks using a minimum number of "machines."



## Example

- Given: a set T of $n$ tasks, each having:

- A start time, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- A finish time, $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\right.$ where $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )
- $[1,4],[1,3],[2,5],[3,7],[4,7],[6,9],[7,8]$ (ordered by start)
- Goal: Perform all tasks on min. number of machines



## Task Scheduling Algorithm

- Greedy choice: consider tasks by their start time and use as few machines as possible with this order.
- Run time: $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \log \mathrm{n})$. Why?
- Correctness: Suppose there is a better schedule.
- We can use k-1 machines
- The algorithm uses $k$
- Let i be first task scheduled on machine $k$
- Machine i must conflict with k-1 other tasks
- But that means there is no non-conflicting schedule


## Algorithm taskSchedule(T)

Input: set $\boldsymbol{T}$ of tasks w/ start time $s_{i}$ and finish time $f_{i}$
Output: non-conflicting schedule with minimum number of machines
$m \leftarrow 0$
\{no. of machines\}
while $T$ is not empty
remove task $i w /$ smallest $s_{i}$
if there's a machine $j$ for $i$ then schedule $i$ on machine $j$
else

$$
m \leftarrow m+1
$$

schedule $i$ on machine $m$ using k -1 machines

## Text Compression

- Given a string $X$, efficiently encode $X$ into a smaller string $Y$
- Saves memory and/or bandwidth
- A good approach: Huffman encoding
- Compute frequency f(c) for each character c.
- Encode high-frequency characters with short code words
- No code word is a prefix for another code
- Use an optimal encoding tree to determine the code words


## Encoding Tree Example

- A code is a mapping of each character of an alphabet to a binary code-word
- A prefix code is a binary code such that no code-word is the prefix of another code-word
- An encoding tree represents a prefix code
- Each external node stores a character
- The code word of a character is given by the path from the root to the external node storing the character ( 0 for a left child and 1 for a right child)

| 00 | 010 | 011 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $e$ |

## Encoding Tree Optimization

- Given a text string $X$, we want to find a prefix code for the characters of $X$ that yields a small encoding for $X$
- Frequent characters should have short code-words
- Rare characters should have long code-words
- Example
- $X=$ abracadabra
- $\boldsymbol{T}_{1}$ encodes $\boldsymbol{X}$ into 29 bits
- $\boldsymbol{T}_{2}$ encodes $X$ into 24 bits



## Huffman's Algorithm

-Given a string $\boldsymbol{X}$, Huffman's algorithm construct a prefix code the minimizes the size of the encoding of $\boldsymbol{X}$

- It runs in time
$\boldsymbol{O}(\boldsymbol{n}+\boldsymbol{d} \log \boldsymbol{d})$, where $\boldsymbol{n}$ is the size of $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $d$ is the number of distinct characters of $\boldsymbol{X}$
- A heap-based priority queue is used as an auxiliary structure


## Huffman's Algorithm

Algorithm Huffman $(X)$ :
Input: String $X$ of length $n$ with $d$ distinct characters
Output: Coding tree for $X$
Compute the frequency $f(c)$ of each character $c$ of $X$.
Initialize a priority queue $Q$.
for each character $c$ in $X$ do
Create a single-node binary tree $T$ storing $c$.
Insert $T$ into $Q$ with key $f(c)$.
while len $(Q)>1$ do
$\left(f_{1}, T_{1}\right)=Q$.remove_min ()
$\left(f_{2}, T_{2}\right)=Q$.remove_min ()
Create a new binary tree $T$ with left subtree $T_{1}$ and right subtree $T_{2}$.
Insert $T$ into $Q$ with key $f_{1}+f_{2}$.
$(f, T)=Q$. remove_min()
return tree $T$

## Example

$\boldsymbol{X}=$ abracadabra Frequencies

| $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | $r$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
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## Extended Huffman Tree Example

String: a fast runner need never be afraid of the dark

| Character |  | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{d}$ | $\mathbf{e}$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{h}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{o}$ | $\mathbf{r}$ | $\mathbf{s}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | $\mathbf{u}$ | $\mathbf{v}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency | 9 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |



