

## Homework 3

Due: April 25, 2018

1. A directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  is *strongly connected* if for every pair of vertices  $(x, y)$ , there is a directed path from  $x$  to  $y$  and a directed path from  $y$  to  $x$ . Define STRONGLY-CONN to be the language consisting of all graphs that are strongly connected. Either show that this problem is in **L** or show a complexity consequence that results if this problem is in **L**.

We will show that  $\text{ST-CONN} \prec \text{STRONGLY-CONN}$ , by a log-space reduction. Since ST-CONN is **NL**-complete, this establishes that STRONGLY-CONN is also **NL**-complete, so if  $\text{STRONGLY-CONN} \in \mathbf{L}$ , then  $\mathbf{NL} = \mathbf{L}$ .

The reduction will take as input  $G = (V, E), s, t$  and will produce a new graph  $G' = (V', E')$  such that there is a path from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G$  if and only if  $G'$  is strongly connected. The vertex set of the two graphs is the same:  $V = V'$ . All the edges in  $E$  are also in  $E'$ . In addition, for every vertex  $v \in V$ , the edges  $(v, s)$  and  $(t, v)$  are added to  $E'$ . This reduction can be done easily on log-space. The reduction copies all the vertices and edges in  $G$  from the input tape to the output tape (requiring no extra workspace). Then on the work tape, enumerate each vertex  $v$  and write the two new edges  $(v, s)$  and  $(t, v)$  to the output tape.

Now suppose that there is a path in  $G$  from  $s$  to  $t$ :  $\langle s, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{l-1}, t \rangle$ . Then for every two vertices  $x$  and  $y$ , the following path is a path from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $G'$ :  $\langle x, s, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{l-1}, t, y \rangle$ . Therefore  $G'$  is strongly connected.

Suppose that  $G'$  is strongly connected. Then there must be a path from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G'$ . It is possible to remove cycles from the path to get a simple path (that doesn't repeat any vertices) from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G'$ :  $\langle s, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{l-1}, t \rangle$ . Since the path is simple, none of the vertices  $v_1$  through  $v_{l-1}$  can be  $s$  or  $t$ . Therefore, the path does not contain any of the new edges which have the form  $(v, s)$  or  $(t, v)$ . Since the path from  $s$  to  $t$  does not contain any of the new edges, there must also be a path from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G$ .

2. A *strong* nondeterministic Turing Machine has, in addition to  $q_{acc}$  and  $q_{rej}$  states, a special state  $q_?$ . The state  $q_?$ , like  $q_{acc}$  and  $q_{rej}$ , is a terminating state in that there are no transitions out of state  $q_?$ . A strong NTM *accepts* its input if all computation paths lead to  $q_{acc}$  or  $q_?$  states. A strong NTM *rejects* its input if all computation paths lead to  $q_{rej}$  or  $q_?$  states. Also, on each input, there is at least one computation path that leads to  $q_{acc}$  or  $q_{rej}$ . In order for a language  $L$  to be decided by a strong NTM, the NTM must accept or reject every input  $x$  according to whether  $x \in L$ . Note that the fact that a strong NTM decides a language implies that there can be no input that has computation paths that lead to both  $q_{acc}$  and  $q_{rej}$ .

Show that the class of languages decided by a strong nondeterministic Turing Machine in polynomial time is exactly  $\mathbf{NP} \cap \mathbf{co-NP}$ .

First assume that language  $L$  is decided by a strong NTM  $M$ . We will show a polynomial time NTM that decides  $L$  and a polynomial time NTM that decides  $\text{co-}L$ , which will establish that  $L \in \mathbf{NP} \cap \mathbf{co-NP}$ . By definition, on every input  $x$ , every computation path of  $M$  is at most polynomial in length. Furthermore, if  $x \in L$ , then every computation path leads to  $q_?$  or  $q_{acc}$ , and at least one computation path leads to  $q_{acc}$ . Similarly, if  $x \notin L$ , then every computation path leads to  $q_?$  or  $q_{rej}$ , and at least one computation path leads to  $q_{rej}$ . Consider a new NTM  $M'$  that performs exactly as  $M$ , except that whenever  $M$  is about to transition to  $?$ ,  $M'$  will transition to  $q_{rej}$  instead.  $M'$  is a normal (not strong) NTM that decides  $L$ . Similarly, consider a new NTM  $M''$  that performs exactly as  $M$ , except that whenever  $M$  is about to transition to  $?$ ,  $M''$  will transition to  $q_{rej}$  instead. In addition, when  $M''$  swaps the role of  $q_{acc}$  and  $q_{rej}$ , so when  $M$  is about to transition to  $q_{acc}$  then  $M''$  transitions to  $q_{rej}$  instead, and when  $M$  is about to transition to  $q_{rej}$  then  $M''$  transitions to  $q_{acc}$  instead.  $M''$  is a normal (not strong) NTM that decides  $\text{co-}L$ .

Now assume that there is an NTM  $M$  that decides  $L$  and an NTM  $M'$  that decides  $\text{co-}L$ . We will show a strong NTM ( $M''$ ) that decides  $L$ . On input  $x$ , first run  $M$ . If a computation path of  $M$  leads to an accepting state, then accept. If the computation path leads to a rejecting state, instead of transitioning to  $q_{rej}$ , run  $M'$  on input  $x$ . If a computation path of  $M'$  leads to a rejecting state, transition to  $q_?$  instead. If a computation path of  $M'$  leads to an accepting state, then transition to  $q_{rej}$  instead. Suppose  $x \in L$ , then there is at least one computation path in  $M$  that leads to  $q_{acc}$ . This computation path also leads to  $q_{acc}$  in  $M''$ . Any computation path in  $M$  that leads to  $q_{rej}$  is followed by a computation of  $M'$  on input  $x$ . Since  $x \in L$  and  $M'$  decides  $\text{co-}L$ , all computation paths of  $M'$  on input  $x$  will be rejecting paths. These paths lead to  $q_?$  in  $M''$ . Suppose  $x \notin L$ . Then there will be no accepting computation paths of  $M$  on input  $x$ . All computation paths will be followed up by a computation of  $M'$  on input  $x$ . Since  $x \notin L$  and  $M'$  decides  $\text{co-}L$ , there will be at least one accepting computation path of  $M'$  on input  $x$ . This will lead to  $q_{rej}$  in  $M''$ . Any rejecting computation paths of  $M'$  on input  $x$  will end in  $q_?$  in  $M''$ .

3. An alternative definition of the class  $\mathbf{NL}$  makes use of a Turing Machine with a special read-once tape. The head on a read-once tape starts at the left-most end of the non-blank symbols written on the tape and can only move to the right or stay in the same place (i.e. it can never move left). The alternative definition says that a language  $L$  is in  $\mathbf{NL}$  if there is a deterministic Turing Machine  $R$  (called a *verifier*) with a special read-once tape and a polynomial  $p$  such that for every  $x \in \Sigma^*$ ,

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \exists u \in \Sigma^{p(|x|)} \text{ such that } R(x, u) = 1,$$

where  $R(x, u)$  is the output of  $R$  when  $x$  is placed on the input tape and  $u$  is placed on its special read-once tape and  $M$  uses  $O(\log n)$  space on its work tape for every input  $x$ .

Prove that this definition is equivalent to the definition using non-deterministic Turing Machines discussed in class.

Suppose that there is a log-space NTM  $M$  that decides  $L$ . We will show a witness-version of  $L$ . The witness  $y$  is the same as in the proof for NP. The string consists of the set of all nondeterministic choices made by that decides  $L$ . The string  $y$  can be formatted as a sequence of triples:  $(q, a, L), (q', b, R), \dots$ . Note that since  $M$  is a log-space NTM,  $M$  is also has a time bound of polynomial in the length of the input, which means that the length of  $y$  is also at most a polynomial in the length of the input. The head reading the string  $y$  never needs to move to the left. Each triple  $(q, a, L)$  can be stored in the state of  $R$  which simulates  $M$  on a separate work tape.  $R$  can then check if a give triple is a valid next step based on the state of  $M$ .

Now suppose that  $L$  is a language such that there exists an NTM  $R$  with a special read-once tape such that for every  $x \in \Sigma^*$ ,

$$x \in L \Leftrightarrow \exists u \in \Sigma^{p(|x|)} \text{ such that } M(x, u) = 1.$$

Consider a new NTM  $M$  that does not have the work tape that  $R$  has.  $R$  will have a special tape cell which stores a guess for the current symbol of  $R$ 's write-once tape. Whenever the head on  $R$ 's read-once tape moves to the right,  $M$  will guess a new symbol for to put in the cell. Since the head on the read-once tape never moves left,  $M$  never has to remember what was written in the cell earlier in the computation. If there is a sequence of guesses for the tape cell that makes  $M$  accept, then the sequence of symbols is a string  $y$  that causes  $R$  to accept  $x$ . If there is a string  $y$  that causes  $R$  to accept, then that sequence of guesses will be an accepting computation of  $M$  on input  $x$ .