

Midterm Exam

Due: May 16, 2018

Important note: Please remember that you should not discuss this exam with anyone else or search the internet to look for solutions.

1. Show that if $\mathbf{NP} \subseteq \mathbf{BPP}$ then $\mathbf{RP} = \mathbf{NP}$.

Assume an encoding of SAT in which inputs can be a Boolean input variable x_i or the value 0 or 1. We will assume an encoding of SAT in which every Boolean formula with the same number of inputs (x , 0, or 1) has the same size. This allows us to set an input variable in $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ without changing the size of the instance. So $\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ would have the same size as $\phi(1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

Now suppose that $\text{SAT} \in \mathbf{BPP}$. Using the boosting argument from class, we can assume that there is a probabilistic polynomial time Turing Machine M that with probability at least $1/2^k$ outputs the correct answer on any input of size k . Run the following algorithm:

Input: Φ

Initialize Φ' to be Φ

For $k = 1, \dots, n$

$\Phi' \leftarrow \Phi'$ with x_k set to 0

Run M with input (Φ', y) using a new random string y

if M accepts, keep x_k set to 0 in Φ'

if M rejects, change Φ' by setting x_k to 1 instead of 0

Check that the current setting for all n variables satisfies the original Φ . If so, accept.

Reject.

If Φ is not satisfiable, there will be no setting of the variables that will satisfy Φ , so the algorithm will reject. Suppose that Φ is satisfiable, the probability that a run of M in any particular iteration outputs the incorrect answer is $1/2^k$, where k is the size of Φ . Note that since the size of Φ' is equal to the size of Φ , the probability of failure does not change in each iteration. Since the size of Φ is at least the number of variables, $1/2^k < 1/2^n$. The probability that any of the n runs of M makes an error is at most $n/2^n < 1/2$. Therefore the algorithm is a randomized algorithm that decides SAT with one-sided error, which means that $\text{SAT} \in \mathbf{RP}$.

2. Show that if $f(n) \geq n$ and $g(n) \geq n$, are proper functions, then $\mathbf{TIME}(f(n)) = \mathbf{NTIME}(f(n))$ implies that $\mathbf{TIME}(f(g(n))) = \mathbf{NTIME}(f(g(n)))$.

Consider a language L in $\mathbf{NTIME}(f(g(n)))$. Define

$$L_{pad} = \{x\#^m \mid x \in L \text{ and } m = g(|x|) - |x|\}.$$

If there is an NTM M that decides L in time $f(g(x))$, then there is an NTM M' that decides L_{pad} in time $f(n)$. Note that for each $x \in L$, the corresponding $x\#^m$ has length exactly $g(|x|)$. On input $x\#^m$, M' can verify that the number of $\#$ characters is $g(|x|) - |x|$ and then just simulate M on x .

Since $L_{pad} \in \mathbf{NTIME}(f(n))$, if $\mathbf{TIME}(f(n)) = \mathbf{NTIME}(f(n))$, then $L_{pad} \in \mathbf{TIME}(f(n))$. This means that there is a (deterministic) TM \hat{M} that decides L_{pad} in time $f(n)$. A deterministic \bar{M} can decide L as follows: on input x , add $g(|x|) - |x|$ $\#$ symbols to the end of x . (If the input tape is read-only, this can be done on a separate tape.) Then simulate \hat{M} on $x\#^m$. The time complexity of \bar{M} is $f(g(n))$.

3. Suppose that $L_1, L_2 \in \mathbf{NP}$. Is $L_1 \cup L_2$ in \mathbf{NP} ? What about $L_1 \cap L_2$? Prove your answers.

Let M_1 be an NTM that decides L_1 in polynomial time. Let M_2 be an NTM that decides L_2 in polynomial time.

Here is a polynomial time NTM M that decides $L_1 \cup L_2$. On input x run M_1 . If M_1 is about to accept then M will also halt and accept. If M_1 is about to halt and reject then M will simulate M_2 on input x and will accept or reject according to M_2 . If either M_1 or M_2 accepts x , then M has an accepting computation on input x . If neither M_1 nor M_2 accepts x , then all of M 's computation paths on input x will be rejecting.

Here is a polynomial time NTM M that decides $L_1 \cap L_2$. On input x run M_1 . If M_1 is about to reject then M will also halt and reject. If M_1 is about to halt and accept then M will simulate M_2 on input x and will accept or reject according to M_2 . If either M_1 or M_2 rejects x , then all of M 's computation paths on input x will be rejecting. If both M_1 and M_2 accept x , then M has an accepting computation on input x .

4. A complexity class \mathcal{C} is closed under complement if $L \in \mathcal{C}$ implies that $co - L \in \mathcal{C}$.
- Are **BPP** or **ZPP** closed under complement? Justify your answer.
 - If either **RP** or **co-RP** are closed under complement then which complexity classes (among the ones we have defined in class) are equivalent to **RP**?

BPP and **ZPP** are both closed under complement. Suppose that $L \in \mathbf{BPP}$. Then there is a deterministic Turing Machine M such that if $x \in L$, then $Pr_y[M(x, y) \text{ accepts}] \geq 2/3$, and if $x \in co - L$, then $Pr_y[M(x, y) \text{ accepts}] \leq 1/3$. Consider TM M' that simulates M and just swaps q_{acc} and q_{rej} . Then $x \in L$, then $Pr_y[M'(x, y) \text{ accepts}] \leq 1/3$, and if $x \in co - L$, then $Pr_y[M'(x, y) \text{ accepts}] \geq 2/3$. Therefore M' decides $co - L$ according to the acceptance criteria of **BPP** which means that $co - L \in \mathbf{BPP}$.

Suppose that $L \in \mathbf{ZPP}$. Then there is a deterministic Turing Machine M such that for all x , $Pr_y[M(x, y) \text{ accepts or rejects}] \geq 1/2$, and $Pr_y[M(x, y) \text{ outputs "Don't Know"}] \leq 1/2$. Furthermore, if M accepts or rejects, then M outputs the correct answer. Consider TM M' that simulates M and just swaps q_{acc} and q_{rej} . Then Therefore M' decides $co - L$ according to the acceptance criteria of **ZPP** which means that $co - L \in \mathbf{ZPP}$.

If \mathbf{RP} is closed under complement, then $\mathbf{RP} = \mathbf{co - RP}$. Since $\mathbf{ZPP} = \mathbf{RP} \cap \mathbf{co - RP}$, $\mathbf{RP} = \mathbf{co - RP}$ implies that $\mathbf{RP} = \mathbf{co - RP} = \mathbf{ZPP}$.