

ICS 6B

Boolean Algebra & Logic

Lecture Notes for Summer Quarter, 2008

Michele Rousseau

Set 1 – Administrative Details, Ch. 1.1, 1.2

Today's Lecture

- **Administrative details**
 - Course Mechanics
 - Add/Drop
 - Grading
 - & etc..
- **Chapter 1 (Sections 1.1 & 1.2)**
 - Logic (1.1)
 - Propositional Equivalences (1.2)



Introductions

○ Instructor

- Michele Rousseau
- Email: michele@ics.uci.edu
 - Please put ICS 6B in the Subject
- Office Hours: by appointment
- Office: DBH: 5204



Pre-requisites

- High School Mathematics through trigonometry
- Please let me know if you have not satisfied this requirements



Class Information

○ Website

- www.ics.uci.edu/~michele/Teaching/ICS6B-Sum08
- Can access from my home page
 - www.ics.uci.edu/~michele



Course Materials

○ Required textbooks

- Rosen, Kenneth H.
Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications, 6th edition, McGraw Hill, 2007.
 - This book is required, and it should be available at the UCI bookstore.
 - There is an online list of errata at:
http://highered.mcgraw-hill.com/sites/dl/free/0072880082/299357/Rosen_errata.pdf

○ Additional Readings

- Will be announced on the website and in lecture



Course Mechanics

○ Lecture

- T Th 1p – 3:50p



How to be successful (1)

○ Attend class

- For summer classes missing one is a big deal
 - Material is core part of the exams
 - What is said in class supersedes all else
- Official place for announcements

○ Do your Homework

- Really think about the problems



How to be successful (2)

- Ask Questions
- Read the Book
 - Review the lecture slides
- Visit course Web site on a regular basis
 - Assignments
 - Lecture Slides
- Use Office Hours



Grading

Assignments	10%
Quizzes	40%
Final	50%

- Will scale only if necessary



Assignments

- **2x a Week**
- **Package properly**
 - Every assignment...
 - lists your Name & Student ID on **every** page
 - has a **cover page** with Class title, Name, student ID & assignment #
 - **...is properly stapled**
- **Assignment grades are based on...**
 - Correctness & **Clarity**
 - Sloppy, illegible, or unclear answers may be marked down even if they are correct
- **Check the answers in the back**
 - Let me know which problems you missed
- **No Late Assignments**

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

11



Exceptions for being late

- **At the Instructor's discretion**
 - Contact the instructor as soon as possible
 - Preferably before you are late
- **Valid reasons**
 - Serious illness, accident, family emergency, etc.
- **Not-so-valid reasons**
 - "Lost my pencil", "didn't know it was due today", "couldn't find parking", etc.

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

12



Quizzes

- Weekly (that's 1 a week)
- Quizzes will primarily be based on...
 - Lectures
 - Readings
 - Homework
- No Make-up Quizzes
- The Final will be comprehensive
- For all exams → Final answers must be in Pen for regrades



Grading

- Disputes
 - Let me know **ASAP** (by the next class)
 - Please don't play the "points-game"
 - I have limited time
 - **Check your grading thoroughly** and ASAP
 - Include a **coversheet** with your name, student ID, and a detailed description of the error
- Re-grading
 - Will only accept re-grades at the beginning of the class following the date they were returned
 - Must be accompanied with a **clear explanation** of what needs to be reconsidered and why
 - **Entire assignment** will be considered



Questions

When in doubt

- **Ask Me!**
 - Open door policy
 - Attend Office Hours
- **Email me**
 - If I think the whole class could benefit I'll forward it
 - let me know if you specifically don't want it forwarded
- **Questions will generally be answered within 24 hours (except weekends)**
- **Ask your friends**

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

15



Academic Dishonesty (ugh)

- **Please don't Cheat**
 - Know the academic dishonesty policies (for ICS & UCI)
 - **ICS:** <http://www.ics.uci.edu/ugrad/policies/>
 - **UCI:**
<http://www.editor.uci.edu/catalogue/appx/appx.2.htm>
- **If you do...**
 - Final grade is an "F", irrespective of partial grades
 - Assignments, Quizzes, or Final
 - Letter in your UCI file
- **Anything copied from a book or website needs to be quoted and the source provided**

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

16

Help each other but don't share work

- **To avoid being a cheater**
 - Always do your work by yourself
 - *It is okay to...*
 - ... ask your friends about **how solve/approach** a problem
 - ... **discuss** an assignment
 - *It is not okay to...*
 - ... ask for the **answer/solution**
 - ... **copy work**
 - ... have them **do it for you!**
 - ...put your work on the **Web**
 - ... **borrow or lend** work!
 - ...**post answers** to assignments
 - **When in doubt – ask me!**
 - **Use good Judgment**

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

17

Add/Drop/Change of Grade Policy

- **Adding or Dropping the Class**
 - Check with Summer Sessions
 - Check with the Department
 - If they are good with it – so am I
- **Changing Grade to P/NP option**
 - Check with Summer Sessions
 - Check with the Department
 - If they are good with it – so am I
- **Please bring completed Add/Drop Cards**
 - In Pen PLEASE 😊

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

18



Other Policies

- **Please use your ICS or UCI account**
 - This is for your privacy
 - Needs to be activated if you are a new student
- **Questions of general interest will be forwarded to the board**
 - if you don't want it forwarded for some reason please state that
- **If you need accommodations due to a disability, talk to me**



Miscellaneous

- **You get out of this class what you put into it**
 - Attend Class
 - Follow instructions
 - Do the homework
 - Read and study the textbook and slides
 - Help is available, do not be afraid to ask questions



Course Objective

- **To Teach You:**

- Relations & their properties
- Boolean algebra
- Formal languages
- Finite automata



Now to the fun part...

- **Chapter 1 (Sections 1.1 & 1.2) : Logic & Proofs**

- Propositional Logic (1.1)
- Propositional Equivalences (1.2)



Take a Break

- Stretch
- Get a drink / snack
- Use the restroom
- Relax...

When we return...
Chapter 1.1

Chapter 1: Section 1.1



Propositional Logic

What is Propositional Logic?

- *Logic* is the basis of all mathematical reasoning
- A *proposition* is a *declarative* statement that is either T (1) or F (0) → *Binary Logic*
- For example:
 - “Irvine is in California”
 - “California is on the East Coast of the USA”
 - “ $1+1=436$ ”
- *Propositional Logic* is the area of logic that deals with propositions
- *Propositional Variables* – Typically p, q, r, s, \dots
- *Truth Values* – denoted by T(1) or F(0)
- *Compound propositions* – combining propositions using logical operators

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

25

Section 1.1 – Propositional Logic

Which of the following are propositions?

- “It is sunny today” Yes → There is a clearly defined truth value
- $1+2=3$ or $2+2=5$ Yes → The 1st is true and the 2nd is false
- “Can I have a cookie?” No → This is a question.
- “Rose is very clean.” Yes → No “free” variables.
- “Take out the Trash” No → Imperative statement.

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

26

Definition 1: Negation

Given a Proposition p the negation is “not p ” or “it is not that case that p ”

- Notated $\neg p$ or \bar{p}
 - For example:
 - p : “It is my turn”
 - $\neg p$: “It is not my turn” or
“It is not that case that it is my turn”
 - p : “Easter is a national holiday in the USA”
 - $\neg p$: “Easter is not a national holiday in the USA”
 - p : “It rained on Monday”
 - $\neg p$: “it is not the case that it rained on Monday”

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

27

Truth Table for $\neg p$

- Truth tables show the value of a proposition

All Possible Values of p →

p	$\neg p$
T	F
F	T

← Result of applying the proposition $\neg p$

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

28

Constructing Truth Tables

- How many rows do you need for each propositional variable? (i.e. How many Ts & Fs?)

- 2 (# of variables)

For 1?
 $2^1 = 2$

p
T
F

For 2?
 $2^2 = 4$

p	q
T	T
T	F
F	T
F	F

How Many T's to start in the 1st Column?
 $4 / 2 = 2$

How about 4?
 $2^4 = 16$

How Many T's to start in the 1st Column?
 $16 / 2 = 8$

How Many T's to start in the 2nd Column?
 $8 / 2 = 4$

How Many T's to start in the 3rd Column?
 $4 / 2 = 2$

p	q	r	s
T	T	T	T
T	T	T	F
T	T	F	T
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	T
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
F	T	T	F
F	T	F	T
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T
F	F	T	F
F	F	F	T
F	F	F	F

We can also use 0's & 1's

- How many rows do we need for 3 variables?

- $2^3 = 8$

How Many 1's to start in the 1st Column?

$8 / 2 = 4$

How Many 1's to start in the 2nd Column?

$4 / 2 = 2$

p	q	r
1	1	1
1	1	0
1	0	1
1	0	0
0	1	1
0	1	0
0	0	1
0	0	0

Definition 2: Conjunction

Given two propositions p and q . The **conjunction** is true when both " p and q " are true.

- Notated: $p \wedge q$

p : "I am going out to dinner."

q : "I am going to the movies."

$p \wedge q$: "I am going out to dinner *and* I am going to the movies."

- First, fill in $p \& q$
- Then fill in $p \wedge q$
 - What is the 1st Value for $p \wedge q$?
 - What is the 2nd Value?
 - What is the 3rd Value?
 - What is the 4th Value?

p	q	$p \wedge q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

31

Definition 3: Disjunction

AKA Inclusive Or. Given two propositions p and q . The **disjunction** is true when either " p or q " are true.

- Notated: $p \vee q$

p : "My neighbor's dog is barking."

q : "My cat is howling."

$p \vee q$: "My neighbor's dog is barking *or* my cat is howling."

Note: 1 of p or q or both need to be True - inclusive.

- Fill in p and q
- Fill in $p \vee q$
 - What is the 1st Value for $p \vee q$?
 - What is the 2nd Value?
 - What is the 3rd Value?
 - What is the 4th Value?

p	q	$p \vee q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

32

Definition 4: Exclusive Or

Given two propositions p and q . The **exclusive or** is **true** when exactly one of p or q are true.

- Notated: $p \oplus q$

p : "I am going out to dinner."

q : "I am going to the movies."

$p \oplus q$: "Either I am going out to dinner or I am going to the movies."

How is this different from the previous or (\vee)?

- Fill in $p \oplus q$

- What is the 1st Value for $p \oplus q$?
- What is the 2nd Value?
- What is the 3rd Value?
- What is the 4th Value?

p	q	$p \oplus q$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

33

Inclusive Or and Exclusive Or

- Which of the following is Inclusive or Exclusive

- "I will stay home or go to the party." **Exclusive**
- "If I am late or I forget my ticket I'll miss the train" **Inclusive**
- "To take software engineering I need to have taken a Java class or a C++ class." **Inclusive**
- "I will get an A or a B in this class" **Exclusive**

p	q	$p \vee q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

p	q	$p \oplus q$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

34

Definition 5: Implication

Let p & q be props. The conditional statement $p \rightarrow q$ (p implies q) is only false when p is true and q is false, otherwise it is true. **NOTE: if p is false then $p \rightarrow q$ is true!**

- Notated: $p \rightarrow q$

p : "I am going buy gasoline."

q : "I will be broke."

$p \rightarrow q$: "If I am going to buy gasoline then I will be broke."

Note: I can be broke whether or not I buy gas, but if I buy gas then I will definitely be broke.

- Fill in $p \rightarrow q$

- What is the 1st Value for $p \rightarrow q$?
- What is the 2nd Value?
- What is the 3rd Value?
- What is the 4th Value?

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

15

Definition 5: Implication (2)

If \rightarrow Then means different things in different contexts

- In **English**, it implies **cause and effect**
- In **programming**, it means if this is true then **execute some code**
- In **Math**, it is **based on truth values** (not causality)

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

16

Definition 5: Implication (3)

- Many ways to express $p \rightarrow q$

p is the premise, hypothesis, or antecedent and
 q is the conclusion (or consequence)

"If p , then q "

" p only if q "

"if p , q "

" q whenever p "

" q if p "

" q unless $\neg p$ "

" q when p "

" q follows from p "

" p implies q "

" p is sufficient for q "

"a sufficient condition for q is p "

"a necessary condition for p is q "

" q is necessary for p "

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

17

Definition 5: Implication (4)

- Rephrase the following to If \rightarrow Then

If it rains, I'll go home. ("If p , q ")

\Rightarrow If it rains, then I'll go home

I go walking whenever it rains. (" q whenever p ")

\Rightarrow If it rains, then I go walking

To go on the trip it is necessary that you get a passport
 (" q is necessary for p ") or ("a necessary condition for p is q ")

\Leftrightarrow Getting a passport is necessary for going on the trip

\Rightarrow If you go on the trip, then you must get a passport.

To pass the class it is sufficient that you get a high grade on the exam.
 (" p is sufficient for q ") or ("a sufficient condition for q is p ")

\Leftrightarrow Getting a high grade on the exam is sufficient for passing the class.

If you get a high grade on the exam, then you will pass the class.

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

38

Converse, Inverse & Contrapositive

Related conditionals

- For $p \rightarrow q$
 - Converse $q \rightarrow p$
 - Inverse $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$
 - Contrapositive $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

- Converse of $p \rightarrow q$
 - Truth table for $p \rightarrow q$
 - Now let's find the truth values for $q \rightarrow p$

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow p$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F
F	F	T	T

Inverse of $p \rightarrow q$

- Inverse $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$
 - Get $\neg p$ & $\neg q$
 - We know $p \rightarrow q$
 - Then get $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$
T	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	F
F	F	T	T	T	T

Contrapositive of $p \rightarrow q$

Contrapositive $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

1. We know $\neg p$, $\neg q$, & $p \rightarrow q$
2. Now fill in $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

When the truth tables are the same -- we say they are **EQUIVALENT**

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
T	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

41

Converse, Inverse, & Contrapositive

- What are the *Converse*, *Inverse*, & *Contrapositive* of the following conditional statement?
- It rains whenever I wash my car.

- Converse**

1. Assign variables to each component proposition (it might be easier to first convert it to If \rightarrow then format.)
 “ q whenever p ” thus \Rightarrow If I wash my car, then it rains.

p : I wash my car

q : It rains

2. State the conversion in symbols
 The converse of $p \rightarrow q$ is $q \rightarrow p$
3. Convert the symbols back to words
 “If it rains, then I wash my car” or
 “I wash my car whenever it rains”

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow p$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F
F	F	T	T

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

42

Converse, Inverse, & Contrapositive

○ Inverse

1. p : I wash my car.
 q : It rains.
2. The inverse of $p \rightarrow q$ is $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$
 $\neg p$: It is not the case that I will wash my car. \Leftrightarrow I don't wash my car.
 $\neg q$: It is not the case that it will rain. \Leftrightarrow It won't rain.
3. "If I don't wash my car, then It won't rain" or
"It won't rain whenever I don't wash my car"

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$
T	T	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	T

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details, Chpts 1.1, 1.2

43

Converse, Inverse, & Contrapositive

○ Contrapositive

1. p : I wash my car.
 q : It rains.
2. The contrapositive of $p \rightarrow q$ is $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
 $\neg p$: It is not the case that I will wash my car. \Leftrightarrow I don't wash my car.
 $\neg q$: It is not the case that it will rain. \Leftrightarrow It won't rain.
3. "If it doesn't rain, then I don't wash my car" or
"I don't wash my car whenever it doesn't rain"

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
T	T	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details, Chpts 1.1, 1.2

44

Bi-Conditional

Let p & q be props. AKA *bi-implications*.
 The **biconditional statement** is the proposition " p if and only if q "
 $p \leftrightarrow q$ is true when p & q have the same truth value, and is false otherwise.

- Notation: \leftrightarrow
- p if and only if q (iff)
 - " p is necessary and sufficient for q "
 - "if p , then q , and conversely"
- Truth Table for $p \leftrightarrow q$
 - "You must take ICS 52 if you pass this class."
 - "I will wash my car if and only if it rains"
 - "I wash my car exactly when it rains"

We don't really talk this way. It is usually implied

p	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

Note: "*exactly*" takes the place of "if and only if"
 Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

Thus far...

- ...We have learned the building blocks

p	q	Negation $\neg p$	$\neg q$	Conjunction $p \wedge q$	Disjunction $p \vee q$	Exclusive Or $p \oplus q$	Implication $p \rightarrow q$	Biconditional $p \leftrightarrow q$
T	T	F	F	T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	F	T	T	T	F
F	F	T	T	F	F	F	T	T

- Now we can combine them

Precedence of logical operators

Before we move on you should note:

Operator	Precedence
\neg	1
\wedge	2
\vee	3
\rightarrow	4
\leftrightarrow	5

Note: It is best to use good ol' fashioned parentheses () to avoid confusion

Compound Propositions

Construct the truth table for

$$(\neg p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (p \oplus \neg q)$$

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \vee q$	$p \oplus \neg q$	$(\neg p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (p \oplus \neg q)$
T	T	F	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

First we nest our operations

We have to evaluate $p \oplus \neg q$

Finally, we have to evaluate $(\neg p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (p \oplus \neg q)$

Applying it to Computer Science

- Software Specifications are often written in natural language
 - Problem: Natural Language is ambiguous
 - Translating to “math” decreases ambiguity
- Translate the following into a logical expression.

“The online user is sent a notification of a link error if the network link is down.”

2. Rephrase
(if necessary)

“If the network link is down,
then the online user is sent a notification of a link error.”

1. Look for Key Words

3. Define the
propositions

l : The network link is down
 n : online user is sent a notification of a link error.

4. Construct your
statement

$l \rightarrow n$

Note: There are many
other applications in CS
Read the book

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

Take a Break

- Stretch
- Get a drink / snack
- Use the restroom
- Relax...

When we return...

Chapter 1.2

Lecture Set 1 - Admin Details. Chpts 1.1, 1.2

50

Chapter 1: Section 1.2

Propositional Equivalences





Definitions

- **Tautology:** When a compound proposition is always true (eg. $p \vee \neg p$)
- **Contradiction:** When a compound proposition is always false (eg. $p \wedge \neg p$)
- **Contingency:** When a compound proposition is not a tautology or a contradiction (eg. $p \vee q$)
- **Logical Equivalence:** When compound propositions have the same truth values in all possible cases (truth tables are the same)
 - When two propositions are equivalent
 - Notated: $p \equiv q$ or $p \leftrightarrow q$

Not a logical connective

Some laws you should know...

Logical Equivalences

Equivalence	Name
$p \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv p$ $p \vee \mathbf{F} \equiv p$	Identity Laws
$p \vee \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{T}$   always true $p \wedge \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F}$   always false	Domination Laws
$p \vee p \equiv p$ $p \wedge p \equiv p$	Idempotent Laws
$p \vee \neg p \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \wedge \neg p \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Negation Laws
$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Double negation Law

Some laws you should know... (2)

Logical Equivalences

Equivalence	Name
$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$ $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	Commutative Laws
$(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$ $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$	Associative Laws
$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	Distributive Laws
$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$ $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$	De Morgan's Laws
$p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$ $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$	Absorption Laws

Showing Equivalence

De Morgan's Law #1: $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$

Note: These are NOT the same symbols

p	q	$p \vee q$	$\neg(p \vee q)$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$
T	T	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	T	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	F	T	T	T	T

LHS
RHS

Check to see that all of the truth values are equivalent

More Logical Equivalences

Involving Conditional Statements

- $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$
- $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
- $p \vee q \equiv \neg p \rightarrow q$
- $p \wedge q \equiv \neg(p \rightarrow \neg q)$
- $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$
- $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$
- $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \vee q) \rightarrow r$
- $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

Involving Bi-Conditional Statements

- $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$
- $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q$
- $p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee \neg p \wedge \neg q$
- $\neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q$

Note: These are NOT the same symbols

Showing Equivalence

Let's show the first equivalence with a truth table:
 $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$
T	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

LHS
RHS

We have to evaluate all of the $\neg p \vee q$ equivalent

Showing Equivalence

- We can use Logical Equivalences we already know to show new equivalences

- Show $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$

1. We want to convert to Vs or As

$$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv \neg(p \rightarrow q)$$

2. We want to convert to As

$$\equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q)$$

by the previous example

$$\equiv \neg(\neg p) \wedge \neg q$$

by the 2nd De Morgan's law

3. We want to get to p

$$\equiv p \wedge \neg q$$

by the double negation law



Announcements

HOMEWORK – Due Thursday

- SECTION 1.1: 2,5,7,9,11,15,23,27,33 (d,e,f)
- SECTION 1.2: 3, 7,9,11,15,17,23,29,35

QUIZ – THURSDAY

- Will cover sections 1.1, 1.2