Constraint Satisfaction Problems A: Definition, Search Strategies

CS171, Fall Quarter, 2019
Introduction to Artificial Intelligence
Prof. Richard Lathrop

Read Beforehand: R&N 6.1-6.4, except 6.3.3

Constraint Satisfaction Problems

- What is a CSP?
  - Finite set of variables, X_1, X_2, ..., X_n
  - Nonempty domain of possible values for each: D_1, ..., D_n
  - Finite set of constraints, C_1, ..., C_m
    - Each constraint C_i limits the values that variables can take, e.g., $X_1 \neq X_2$
  - Each constraint C_i is a pair: C_i = (*scope*, *relation*)
    - Scope = tuple of variables that participate in the constraint
    - Relation = list of allowed combinations of variables
    May be an explicit list of allowed combinations
    May be an abstract relation allowing membership testing & listing

- CSP benefits
  - Standard representation pattern
  - Generic goal and successor functions
  - Generic heuristics (no domain-specific expertise required)

Example: Sudoku

- Problem specification

Variables: {A1, A2, A3, … I7, I8, I9}

Domains: $D_i = \{\ 1, 2, 3, \dots, 9\ \}$

Constraints:

each row, column "all different"

alldiff(A1,A2,A3…,A9), ...

each 3x3 block "all different"

alldiff(G7,G8,G9,H7,…I9), ...

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
A			2	4		6			
B	8	6	5	1			2		
C		1				8	6		9
D	9				4		8	6	
E		4	7				1	9	
F		5	8		6				3
G	4		6	9				7	
H			9			4	5	8	1
I				3		2	9		

Task: solve (complete a partial solution)

check "well-posed": exactly one solution?

CSPs --- what is a solution?

- A *state* is an *assignment* of values to some variables.
  - *Complete* assignment
    - = every variable has a value.
  - *Partial* assignment
    - = some variables have no values.
  - *Consistent* assignment
    - = assignment does not violate any constraints

- A *solution* is a *complete* and *consistent* assignment.

CSPs with objective functions

- A solution may have to maximize an objective function
  - Preferences, often called "soft" constraints
  - Example: linear objective function
    - => linear programming or integer linear programming
  - Example: "Weighted" CSPs where each variable has a cost

- Examples of CSP applications
  - Scheduling the time of observations on a space telescope
  - Airline flight scheduling
  - Cryptography
  - Job shop scheduling
 - Classroom scheduling
 - Computer vision, image interpretation

CSP example: map coloring

- **Variables:** *WA, NT, Q, NSW, V, SA, T*
- **Domains:** $D_i = \{red, green, blue\}$
- **Constraints:** Adjacent regions must have different colors, e.g., $WA \neq NT$.

Example: Map coloring solution

All variables assigned, all constraints satisfied.

Example: Map Coloring

Variables: $\{\, x_0\,,\, x_1\,,\, x_2\,,\, x_3\,,\, x_4\,,\, x_5\,,\, x_6\,\}$

Domains: D_i = { red, green, blue }

Constraints: bordering regions must have different colors:

$$x_0 \neq x_1,\ x_0 \neq x_2,\ x_1 \neq x_2, \ldots$$

A **solution** is any setting of the variables that satisfies all the constraints, e.g.,

$$x_0 = blue,\ x_1 = green,\ x_2 = red,\ x_3 = blue,$$

$$x_4 = green,\ x_5 = blue,\ x_6 = red$$

Example: Map Coloring

- Constraint graph
  - Vertices: variables
  - Edges: constraints
    (connect involved variables)

- Graphical model
  - Abstracts the problem to a canonical form
  - Can reason about problem through graph connectivity
  - Ex: Tasmania can be solved independently (more later)

- Binary CSP
  - Constraints involve at most two variables
  - Sometimes called "pairwise"

Aside: Graph coloring

- More general problem than map coloring

- Planar graph:

  graph in 2D plane with no

  edge crossings

- Guthrie's conjecture (1852)

  Every planar graph can be colored in ≤ 4 colors

- Proved (using a computer) in 1977 (Appel & Haken 1977)

Varieties of CSPs

- Discrete variables
  - Finite domains, size d => $O(d^n)$ complete assignments
    - Ex: Boolean CSPs: Boolean satisfiability (NP-complete)

  - Infinite domains (integers, strings, etc.)
    - Ex: Job scheduling, variables are start/end days for each job
    - Need a constraint language, e.g., StartJob_1 + 5 < StartJob_3
    - Infinitely many solutions
    - Linear constraints: solvable
    - Nonlinear: no general algorithm

- Continuous variables
  - Ex: Building an airline schedule or class schedule
  - Linear constraints: solvable in polynomial time by LP methods

Varieties of constraints

- **Unary** constraints involve a single variable,
  - e.g., SA ≠ green

- **Binary** constraints involve pairs of variables,
  - e.g., SA ≠ WA

- **Higher-order** constraints involve 3 or more variables,
  - Ex: jobs A,B,C cannot all be run at the same time
  - Can always be expressed using multiple binary constraints

- **Preference** (soft constraints)
  - Ex: "red is better than green" can often be represented by a cost for each variable assignment
  - Combines optimization with CSPs

Simplify…

- We restrict attention to:

- Discrete & finite domains
  – Variables have a discrete, finite set of values
- No objective function
  – Any complete & consistent solution is OK
- Solution
  – Find a complete & consistent assignment

- Example: Sudoku puzzles

Binary CSPs

CSPs only need binary constraints!

- Unary constraints
  - Just delete values from the variable's domain

- Higher order (3 or more variables): reduce to binary
  - Simple example: 3 variables X,Y,Z
  - Domains Dx={1,2,3}, Dy={1,2,3}, Dz={1,2,3}
  - Constraint C[X,Y,Z] = {X+Y=Z} = {(1,1,2),(1,2,3),(2,1,3)}
    (Plus other variables & constraints elsewhere in the CSP)

  - Create a new variable W, taking values as triples (3-tuples)
  - Domain of W is Dw={(1,1,2),(1,2,3),(2,1,3)}
    - Dw is exactly the tuples that satisfy the higher-order constraint
  - Create three new constraints:
    - C[X,W] = { [1,(1,1,2)], [1,(1,2,3)], [2,(2,1,3) }
    - C[Y,W] = { [1,(1,1,2)], [2,(1,2,3)], [1,(2,1,3) }
    - C[Z,W] = { [2,(1,1,2)], [3,(1,2,3)], [3,(2,1,3) }
    Other constraints elsewhere involving X,Y,Z are unaffected

Example: Cryptarithmetic problems

- Find numeric substitutions that make an equation hold:

```
      T  W  O
  +   T  W  O
  ─────────────
  = F  O  U  R
```

For example:

O = 4
R = 8
W = 3
U = 6
T = 7
F = 1

```
      7  3  4
  +   7  3  4
  ─────────────
  = 1  4  6  8
```

Note: not unique – how many solutions?

Non-pairwise CSP:

$O+O = R + 10*C_1$

$C_1 = \{0,1\}$

all-different

$W+W+C_1 = U + 10*C_2$

$C_2 = \{0,1\}$

$T+T+C_2 = O + 10*C_3$

$C_3 = \{0,1\}$

$C_3 = F$

Example: Cryptarithmetic problems

- Try it yourself at home:

```
      S   E   N   D
+     M   O   R   E
= M   O   N   E   Y
```

(a frequent request from college students to parents)

Random binary CSPs

- A random binary CSP is defined by a four-tuple (n, d, p_1, p_2)
  - n = the number of variables.
  - d = the domain size of each variable.
  - p_1 = probability a constraint exists between two variables.
  - p_2 = probability a pair of values in the domains of two variables connected by a constraint is incompatible.
    - Note that R&N lists compatible pairs of values instead.
    - Equivalent formulations; just take the set complement.

- (n, d, p_1, p_2) generate random binary constraints

- The so-called "model B" of Random CSP (n, d, n_1, n_2)
  - n1 = p_1 n(n-1)/2 pairs of variables are randomly and uniformly selected and binary constraints are posted between them.
  - For each constraint, n_2 = p_2 d^2 randomly and uniformly selected pairs of values are picked as incompatible.

- The random CSP as an optimization problem (minCSP).
  - Goal is to minimize the total sum of values for all variables.

CSP as a standard search problem

- A CSP can easily be expressed as a standard search problem.

- Incremental formulation
  - *Initial State*: the empty assignment {}
  - *Actions*: Assign a value to an unassigned variable provided that it does not violate a constraint
  - *Goal test*: the current assignment is complete
    (by construction it is consistent)
  - *Path cost*: constant cost for every step (not really relevant)

BUT: solution is at depth n (# of variables)
For BFS: branching factor at top level is nd
next level: $(n-1)d$
…
Total: $n!\, d^n$ leaves! But there are only d^n complete assignments!

- Aside: can also use complete-state formulation
  - Local search techniques (Chapter 4) tend to work well

Commutativity

- CSPs are commutative.
  - Order of any given set of actions has no effect on the outcome.
  - Example: choose colors for Australian territories, one at a time.
    - **[WA=red then NT=green]**   same as   **[NT=green then WA=red]**

- All CSP search algorithms can generate successors by considering assignments <u>for only a single variable</u> at each node in the search tree
  - $\Rightarrow$ there are d^n irredundant leaves

- (Figure out later to which variable to assign which value.)

Backtracking search

- Similar to depth-first search
  - At each level, pick a single variable to expand
  - Iterate over the domain values of that variable

- Generate children one at a time,
  - One child per value
  - Backtrack when no legal values left

- Uninformed algorithm
  - Poor general performance

Backtracking search

function BACKTRACKING-SEARCH(*csp*) **return** a solution or failure
    return RECURSIVE-BACKTRACKING(*{}* , *csp*)

function RECURSIVE-BACKTRACKING(*assignment, csp*) **return** a solution or failure
    if *assignment* is complete **then return** *assignment*
    var ← **SELECT-UNASSIGNED-VARIABLE**(VARIABLES[*csp*],*assignment*,*csp*)
    for each *value* **in ORDER-DOMAIN-VALUES**(*var, assignment, csp*) **do**
      if *value* is consistent with *assignment* according to CONSTRAINTS[*csp*]   **then**
         add *{var=value}* to assignment
         result ← RECURSIVE-BACTRACKING(*assignment, csp*)
         if *result* $\neq$ *failure* **then return** *result*
         remove *{var=value}* from *assignment*
   return *failure*

Backtracking search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

- Generate ***only one*** child at a time.

- *Goal-Test* when inserted.
  - For CSP, Goal-test at bottom

Future= green dotted circles
Frontier=white nodes
Expanded/active=gray nodes
Forgotten/reclaimed= black nodes

Backtracking search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

- Generate ***only one*** child at a time.

- *Goal-Test* when inserted.
  - For CSP, Goal-test at bottom

Future= green dotted circles
Frontier=white nodes
Expanded/active=gray nodes
Forgotten/reclaimed= black nodes

Backtracking search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

- Generate ***only one*** child at a time.

- *Goal-Test* when inserted.
  - For CSP, Goal-test at bottom

Future= green dotted circles
Frontier=white nodes
Expanded/active=gray nodes
Forgotten/reclaimed= black nodes

Backtracking search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

- Generate ***only one*** child at a time.

- *Goal-Test* when inserted.
  - For CSP, Goal-test at bottom

Future= green dotted circles
Frontier=white nodes
Expanded/active=gray nodes
Forgotten/reclaimed= black nodes

Backtracking search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

- Generate ***only one*** child at a time.

- *Goal-Test* when inserted.
  - For CSP, Goal-test at bottom

Future= green dotted circles
Frontier=white nodes
Expanded/active=gray nodes
Forgotten/reclaimed= black nodes

Backtracking search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

- Generate ***only one*** child at a time.

- *Goal-Test* when inserted.
  - For CSP, Goal-test at bottom

Future= green dotted circles
Frontier=white nodes
Expanded/active=gray nodes
Forgotten/reclaimed= black nodes

Backtracking search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

- Generate ***only one*** child at a time.

- *Goal-Test* when inserted.
  - For CSP, Goal-test at bottom

Future= green dotted circles
Frontier=white nodes
Expanded/active=gray nodes
Forgotten/reclaimed= black nodes

Backtracking search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

- Generate ***only one*** child at a time.

- *Goal-Test* when inserted.
  - For CSP, Goal-test at bottom

Future= green dotted circles
Frontier=white nodes
Expanded/active=gray nodes
Forgotten/reclaimed= black nodes

Backtracking search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

- Generate ***only one*** child at a time.

- *Goal-Test* when inserted.
  - For CSP, Goal-test at bottom

Future= green dotted circles
Frontier=white nodes
Expanded/active=gray nodes
Forgotten/reclaimed= black nodes

Backtracking search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

- Generate **only one** child at a time.

- *Goal-Test* when inserted.
  - For CSP, Goal-test at bottom

Future= green dotted circles
Frontier=white nodes
Expanded/active=gray nodes
Forgotten/reclaimed= black nodes

Backtracking search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

- Generate ***only one*** child at a time.

- *Goal-Test* when inserted.
  - For CSP, Goal-test at bottom

Future= green dotted circles
Frontier=white nodes
Expanded/active=gray nodes
Forgotten/reclaimed= black nodes

Backtracking search

- Expand *deepest* unexpanded node

- Generate **only one** child at a time.

- *Goal-Test* when inserted.
  - For CSP, Goal-test at bottom

Future= green dotted circles
Frontier=white nodes
Expanded/active=gray nodes
Forgotten/reclaimed= black nodes

Improving Backtracking   O(exp(n))

- Make our search more "informed" (e.g. heuristics)
  - General purpose methods can give large speed gains
  - CSPs are a generic formulation; hence heuristics are more "generic" as well

- Before search:
  - **Reduce the search space**
  - Arc-consistency, path-consistency, i-consistency
  - Variable ordering (fixed)

- During search:
  - **Look-ahead schemes**:
    - Detecting failure early; reduce the search space if possible
    - Which variable should be assigned next?
    - Which value should we explore first?
  - **Look-back schemes**:
    - Backjumping
    - Constraint recording
    - Dependency-directed backtracking

Look-ahead: Variable and value orderings

- Intuition:
  - Apply propagation at each node in the search tree (reduce future branching)
  - Choose a variable that will detect failures early   (low branching factor)
  - Choose value least likely to yield a dead-end   (find solution early if possible)

- Forward-checking
  - (check each unassigned variable separately)
- Maintaining arc-consistency (MAC)
  - (apply full arc-consistency)

Backtracking search (Figure 6.5)

function BACKTRACKING-SEARCH(*csp*) **return** a solution or failure
    return RECURSIVE-BACKTRACKING(*{}* , *csp*)

function RECURSIVE-BACKTRACKING(*assignment, csp*) **return** a solution or failure
    if *assignment* is complete **then return** *assignment*
    var ← SELECT-UNASSIGNED-VARIABLE(VARIABLES[*csp*],*assignment,csp*)
    for each *value* **in** ORDER-DOMAIN-VALUES(*var, assignment, csp*) **do**
      if *value* is consistent with *assignment* according to CONSTRAINTS[*csp*] **then**
         add *{var=value}* to assignment
         result ← RRECURSIVE-BACTRACKING(*assignment, csp*)
   **if** *result* ≠ *failure* **then return** *result*
         remove *{var=value}* from *assignment*
    return *failure*

Dependence on variable ordering

- Example: coloring
 - Dark nodes assigned, light nodes unassigned

(1) Assign WA, Q, V first:
- $27 = 3^3$ ways to color
  assigned nodes consistently
- none inconsistent (yet)
- only 3 lead to solutions…

(2) Assign WA, SA, NT first:
- $6 = 3!$ ways to color
  assigned nodes consistently
- all lead to solutions
- no backtracking

# Dependence on variable ordering

- Another graph coloring example:

Minimum remaining values (MRV)

- A heuristic for selecting the next variable
  - a.k.a. most constrained variable (MCV) heuristic

  - choose the variable with the fewest legal values

  - will immediately detect failure if X has no legal values

  - (Related to forward checking, later)

Degree heuristic

- Another heuristic for selecting the next variable
  - a.k.a. most constraining variable heuristic

  - Select variable involved in the most constraints on other unassigned variables

  - **Useful as a tie-breaker among most constrained variables**

What about the order to try values?

Backtracking search (Figure 6.5)

function BACKTRACKING-SEARCH(*csp*) **return** a solution or failure
    return RECURSIVE-BACKTRACKING({} , *csp*)

function RECURSIVE-BACKTRACKING(*assignment, csp*) **return** a solution or failure
    if *assignment* is complete **then return** *assignment*
    var ← SELECT-UNASSIGNED-VARIABLE(VARIABLES[*csp*],*assignment,csp*)
    for each *value* **in** ORDER-DOMAIN-VALUES(*var, assignment, csp*) **do**
      if *value* is consistent with *assignment* according to CONSTRAINTS[*csp*] **then**
        add *{var=value}* to assignment
        result ← RRECURSIVE-BACTRACKING(*assignment, csp*)
        if *result* $\neq$ *failure* **then return** *result*
        remove *{var=value}* from *assignment*
    return *failure*

Least Constraining Value

- Heuristic for selecting what value to try next
- Given a variable, choose the least constraining value:
  – the one that rules out the fewest values in the remaining variables

Allows 1 value for SA

Allows 0 values for SA

  – Makes it more likely to find a solution early

Variable and value orderings

- Minimum remaining values for variable ordering

- Least constraining value for value ordering
  - Why do we want these? <u>Is there a contradiction?</u>

- Intuition:
  - Choose a variable that will detect failures early   (low branching factor)
  - Choose value least likely to yield a dead-end   (find solution early if possible)

- MRV for variable selection reduces current branching factor
  - Low branching factor throughout tree = fast search
  - Hopefully, when we get to variables with currently many values, forward checking or arc consistency will have reduced their domains & they'll have low branching too

- LCV for value selection increases the chance of success
  - If we're going to fail at this node, we'll have to examine every value anyway
  - If we're going to succeed, the earlier we do, the sooner we can stop searching

Summary

- CSPs
  - special kind of problem: states defined by values of a fixed set of variables, goal test defined by constraints on variable values

- Backtracking = depth-first search with one variable assigned per node

- Heuristics
  - Variable ordering and value selection heuristics help significantly

- Variable ordering (selection) heuristics
  - Choose variable with Minimum Remaining Values (MRV)
  - Degree Heuristic – break ties after applying MRV

- Value ordering (selection) heuristic
  - Choose Least Constraining Value