*1. (10 pts total, 5 pts each) Consider the learning data shown in Figure 18.3 of your book (both 2nd & 3rd ed.). Your book (Section 18.3, “Choosing Attribute Tests”) shows that when considering the root node, Gain(Patrons) ≈ 0.541 while Gain(Type) = 0. Calculate Gain (Alternate) and Gain(Hungry) for the root.*

Recall (Section 18.3) that

B(q) = −(q log2 q + (1−q) log2 (1−q) )

Remainder(A) = $\sum\_{k=1}^{d}\frac{p\_{k}+n\_{k}}{p+n} B(\frac{p\_{k}}{p\_{k}+n\_{k}})$

Gain(A) = B( p / (p+n) ) – Remainder(A)

a. (5 pts) *Gain (Alternate)* = 1 – [ $\frac{6}{12}B\left(\frac{3}{6}\right)+ \frac{6}{12}B\left(\frac{3}{6}\right)$ ] = 0

b. (5 pts) *Gain(Hungry)* = 1 – [ $\frac{7}{12}B\left(\frac{5}{7}\right)+ \frac{5}{12}B\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)$ ] ≈ 0.196

*2. (15 pts total, 5 pts each) Consider an ensemble learning algorithm that uses simple majority voting among M learned hypotheses (you may assume M is odd). Suppose that each hypothesis has error ε where 0.5 > ε > 0 and that the errors made by each hypothesis are independent of the others’. Show your work.*

*a. (5 pts) Calculate a formula for the error of the ensemble algorithm in terms of M and ε.*

The ensemble makes an error just in case (M+1) / 2 or more hypotheses make an error simultaneously. Recall that the probability that exactly k hypotheses make an error is

P(exactly k hypotheses make an error) = $\left(\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{M}{k}\right) ε^{k}(1-ε)^{(M-k)}$

where $\left(\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{M}{k}\right)$, read “M choose k,” is the number of distinct ways of choosing k distinct objects from a set of M distinct objects, calculated as $\left(\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{M}{k}\right)= \frac{M!}{k!\left(M-k\right)!}$ , where x!, read “x factorial,” is x! = 1\*2\*3\*…\*x. Then,

P(error) = $\sum\_{k=(M+1)/2}^{M}P(exactly k hypotheses make an error)$ = $\sum\_{k=(M+1)/2}^{M}\left(\genfrac{}{}{0pt}{}{M}{k}\right) ε^{k}(1-ε)^{(M-k)}$

*b. (5 pts) Evaluate it for the cases where M = 5, 11, and 21 and ε = 0.1, 0.2, and 0.4.*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | M=5 | M=11 | M=21 |
| ε=0.1 | 0.00856 | 2.98e-4 | 1.35e-6 |
| ε=0.2 | 0.0579 | 0.0117 | 9.70e-4 |
| ε=0.4 | 0.317 | 0.247 | 0.174 |

*c. (5 pts) If the independence assumption is removed, is it possible for the ensemble error to be worse than ε? Produce either an example or a proof that it is not possible.*

YES. Suppose M=3 and ε = 0.4 = 2/5. Suppose the ensemble predicts five examples e1…e5 as follows.

e1: M1 and M2 are in error, so they out-vote M3 and the prediction of e1 is in error.

e2: M1 and M3 are in error, so they out-vote M2 and the prediction of e2 is in error.

e3: M2 and M3 are in error, so they out-vote M1 and the prediction of e3 is in error.

e4, e5: None of the hypotheses make an error on e4 or e5, so the predictions of e4 and e5 are correct.

The result is that each hypothesis has made 2 errors out of 5 predictions, for an error on each hypothesis of 2/5 = 0.4 = ε, as stated. However, the ensemble has made 3 errors out of 5 predictions, for an error on the ensemble of 3/5 = 0.6 > ε = 0.4.

*3. (35 pts total, 5 pts off for each wrong answer, but not negative) Label as TRUE/YES or FALSE/NO.*

*a. (5 pts) Suppose that you are given two weight vectors for a perceptron. Both vectors, w1 and w2, correctly recognize a particular class of examples. Does the vector w3 = w1 − w2 ALWAYS correctly recognize that same class?*

NO. Recall that negating the terms in an inequality requires reversing the inequality, so it is hard to predict what negating only one set of terms will do.

*b. (5 pts) Does the vector w4 = w1 + w2 ALWAYS correctly recognize that same class?*

YES. w4 is a positive linear combination of w1 and w2, so the outputs and thresholds both sum.

*c. (5 pts) Does the vector w5 = cw1 where c = 42 ALWAYS correctly recognize the same class?*

YES. w5 is a positive linear transform of w1, so the outputs and thresholds are equivalently transformed.

*d. (5 pts) Does the vector w6 = dw2 where d = −117 ALWAYS correctly recognize the same class?*

NO. Recall that negating the terms in an inequality requires reversing the inequality. The vector w6 will always be exactly INCORRECT, i.e., its class predictions will be inverted. NOTE: If the answer given recognizes this inversion, and is otherwise correct, then give credit.

*e. (5 pts) Now suppose that you are given two examples of the same class A, x1 and x2, where x1 ≠ x2. Suppose the example x3 = 0.5x1 + 0.5x2 is of a different class B. Is there ANY perceptron that can correctly classify x1 and x2 into class A and x3 into class B?*

NO. x3 lies on the line segment connecting x1 and x2, and so it cannot be linearly separated from them. NOTE: You can transform the input space in a non-linear way so that the points are linearly separated in the new space; but there is no perceptron that can correctly classify them as given in the problem.

*f. (5 pts) Suppose that you are given a set of examples, some from one class A and some from another class B. You are told that there exists a perceptron that can correctly classify the examples into the correct classes. Is the perceptron learning algorithm ALWAYS guaranteed to find a perceptron that will correctly classify these examples?*

YES. The perceptron algorithm will find a linear separator if one exists (see your book Section 18.6.3).

*g. (5 pts) An artificial neural network can learn and represent only linearly separable classes.*

NO. It has a nonlinear transfer function and can have a nonlinear decision boundary (Section 18.7.3).

*h. (5 pts) Learning in an artificial neural network is done by adjusting the weights to minimize the error, and is a form of gradient descent.*

YES (see your book Section 18.7.4).

*i. (5 pts) An artificial neural network is not suitable for learning continuous functions (function approximation or regression) because its transfer function outputs only 1 or 0 depending on the threshold.*

NO. It has a nonlinear transfer function and can learn continuous functions (see your book Section 18.7).