1. (10 pts total, 5 pts each) Consider the learning data shown in Figure 18.3 of your book (both  $2^{nd}$  &  $3^{rd}$  ed.). Your book (Section 18.3, "Choosing Attribute Tests") shows that when considering the root node,  $Gain(Patrons) \approx 0.541$  while Gain(Type) = 0. Calculate Gain(Alternate) and Gain(Hungry) for the root.

Recall (Section 18.3) that

$$B(q) = -(q \log_2 q + (1-q) \log_2 (1-q))$$

Remainder(A) = 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{p_k + n_k}{p + n} B(\frac{p_k}{p_k + n_k})$$

$$Gain(A) = B(p/(p+n)) - Remainder(A)$$

a. (5 pts) 
$$Gain (Alternate) = 1 - \left[ \frac{6}{12} B \left( \frac{3}{6} \right) + \frac{6}{12} B \left( \frac{3}{6} \right) \right] = 0$$

b. (5 pts) 
$$Gain(Hungry) = 1 - \left[\frac{7}{12}B\left(\frac{5}{7}\right) + \frac{5}{12}B\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)\right] \approx 0.196$$

2. (15 pts total, 5 pts each) Consider an ensemble learning algorithm that uses simple majority voting among M learned hypotheses (you may assume M is odd). Suppose that each hypothesis has error  $\varepsilon$  where  $0.5 > \varepsilon > 0$  and that the errors made by each hypothesis are independent of the others'. Show your work.

a. (5 pts) Calculate a formula for the error of the ensemble algorithm in terms of M and  $\varepsilon$ .

The ensemble makes an error just in case (M+1)/2 or more hypotheses make an error simultaneously. Recall that the probability that exactly k hypotheses make an error is

P(exactly k hypotheses make an error) = 
$$\binom{M}{k} \varepsilon^k (1 - \varepsilon)^{(M-k)}$$

where  $\binom{M}{k}$ , read "M choose k," is the number of distinct ways of choosing k distinct objects from a set of M distinct objects, calculated as  $\binom{M}{k} = \frac{M!}{k!(M-k)!}$ , where x!, read "x factorial," is x! = 1\*2\*3\*...\*x. Then,

$$P(\text{error}) = \sum_{k=(M+1)/2}^{M} P(\text{exactly } k \text{ hypotheses make an error}) = \sum_{k=(M+1)/2}^{M} {M \choose k} \varepsilon^k (1-\varepsilon)^{(M-k)}$$

b. (5 pts) Evaluate it for the cases where M = 5, 11, and 21 and  $\varepsilon = 0.1$ , 0.2, and 0.4.

	M=5	M=11	M=21
ε=0.1	0.00856	2.98e-4	1.35e-6
ε=0.2	0.0579	0.0117	9.70e-4
ε=0.4	0.317	0.247	0.174

c. (5 pts) If the independence assumption is removed, is it possible for the ensemble error to be worse than  $\varepsilon$ ? Produce either an example or a proof that it is not possible.

YES. Suppose M=3 and  $\varepsilon = 0.4 = 2/5$ . Suppose the ensemble predicts five examples e1...e5 as follows.

e1: M1 and M2 are in error, so they out-vote M3 and the prediction of e1 is in error.

- e2: M1 and M3 are in error, so they out-vote M2 and the prediction of e2 is in error.
- e3: M2 and M3 are in error, so they out-vote M1 and the prediction of e3 is in error.
- e4, e5: None of the hypotheses make an error on e4 or e5, so the predictions of e4 and e5 are correct.

The result is that each hypothesis has made 2 errors out of 5 predictions, for an error on each hypothesis of  $2/5 = 0.4 = \epsilon$ , as stated. However, the ensemble has made 3 errors out of 5 predictions, for an error on the ensemble of  $3/5 = 0.6 > \epsilon = 0.4$ .

- 3. (35 pts total, 5 pts off for each wrong answer, but not negative) Label as TRUE/YES or FALSE/NO.
- a. (5 pts) Suppose that you are given two weight vectors for a perceptron. Both vectors, w1 and w2, correctly recognize a particular class of examples. Does the vector w3 = w1 w2 ALWAYS correctly recognize that same class?
- NO. Recall that negating the terms in an inequality requires reversing the inequality, so it is hard to predict what negating only one set of terms will do.
- b. (5 pts) Does the vector w4 = w1 + w2 ALWAYS correctly recognize that same class?
- YES. w4 is a positive linear combination of w1 and w2, so the outputs and thresholds both sum.
- c. (5 pts) Does the vector w5 = cw1 where c = 42 ALWAYS correctly recognize the same class?
- YES. w5 is a positive linear transform of w1, so the outputs and thresholds are equivalently transformed.
- d. (5 pts) Does the vector w6 = dw2 where d = -117 ALWAYS correctly recognize the same class?
- NO. Recall that negating the terms in an inequality requires reversing the inequality. The vector w6 will always be exactly INCORRECT, i.e., its class predictions will be inverted. NOTE: If the answer given recognizes this inversion, and is otherwise correct, then give credit.
- e. (5 pts) Now suppose that you are given two examples of the same class A, x1 and x2, where  $x1 \neq x2$ . Suppose the example x3 = 0.5x1 + 0.5x2 is of a different class B. Is there ANY perceptron that can correctly classify x1 and x2 into class A and x3 into class B?
- NO. x3 lies on the line segment connecting x1 and x2, and so it cannot be linearly separated from them. NOTE: You can transform the input space in a non-linear way so that the points are linearly separated in the new space; but there is no perceptron that can correctly classify them as given in the problem.
- f. (5 pts) Suppose that you are given a set of examples, some from one class A and some from another class B. You are told that there exists a perceptron that can correctly classify the examples into the correct classes. Is the perceptron learning algorithm ALWAYS guaranteed to find a perceptron that will correctly classify these examples?
- YES. The perceptron algorithm will find a linear separator if one exists (see your book Section 18.6.3).
- g. (5 pts) An artificial neural network can learn and represent only linearly separable classes.
- NO. It has a nonlinear transfer function and can have a nonlinear decision boundary (Section 18.7.3).
- h. (5 pts) Learning in an artificial neural network is done by adjusting the weights to minimize the error, and is a form of gradient descent.
- YES (see your book Section 18.7.4).

i. (5 pts) An artificial neural network is not suitable for learning continuous functions (function approximation or regression) because its transfer function outputs only 1 or 0 depending on the threshold.

NO. It has a nonlinear transfer function and can learn continuous functions (see your book Section 18.7).