

The EM Algorithm for Gaussian Mixtures

Probabilistic Learning: Theory and Algorithms, CS 274A

Finite Mixture Models

We are given a data set $D = \{\underline{x}_1, \dots, \underline{x}_N\}$ where \underline{x}_i is a d -dimensional vector measurement. Assume that the points are generated in an IID fashion from an underlying density $p(\underline{x})$. We further assume that $p(\underline{x})$ is defined as a finite mixture model with K components:

$$p(\underline{x}|\Theta) = \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k p_k(\underline{x}|z_k, \theta_k)$$

where:

- The $p_k(\underline{x}|z_k, \theta_k)$ are *mixture components*, $1 \leq k \leq K$. Each is a density or distribution defined over $p(\underline{x})$, with parameters θ_k .
- $z = (z_1, \dots, z_K)$ is a vector of K binary indicator variables that are mutually exclusive and exhaustive (i.e., one and only one of the z_k 's is equal to 1, and the others are 0). z is a K -ary random variable representing the identity of the mixture component that generated \underline{x} . It is convenient for mixture models to represent z as a vector of K indicator variables.
- The $\alpha_k = p(z_k)$ are the mixture weights, representing the probability that a randomly selected \underline{x} was generated by component k , where $\sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k = 1$.

The complete set of parameters for a mixture model with K components is

$$\Theta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_K\}$$

Membership Weights

We can compute the “membership weight” of data point \underline{x}_i in cluster k , given parameters Θ as

$$w_{ik} = p(z_{ik} = 1|\underline{x}_i, \Theta) = \frac{p_k(\underline{x}_i|z_k, \theta_k) \cdot \alpha_k}{\sum_{m=1}^K p_m(\underline{x}_i|z_m, \theta_m) \cdot \alpha_m}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq K, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

This follows from a direct application of Bayes rule.

The membership weights above reflect our uncertainty, given \underline{x}_i and Θ , about which of the K components generated vector \underline{x}_i . Note that we are assuming in our generative mixture model that each \underline{x}_i was generated by a single component—so these probabilities reflect our uncertainty about which component \underline{x}_i came from, not any “mixing” in the generative process.

Gaussian Mixture Models

For $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{R}^d$ we can define a Gaussian mixture model by making each of the K components a Gaussian density with parameters $\underline{\mu}_k$ and Σ_k . Each component is a multivariate Gaussian density

$$p_k(\underline{x}|\theta_k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}|\Sigma_k|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\underline{x}-\underline{\mu}_k)^t \Sigma_k^{-1}(\underline{x}-\underline{\mu}_k)}$$

with its own parameters $\theta_k = \{\underline{\mu}_k, \Sigma_k\}$.

The EM Algorithm for Gaussian Mixture Models

We define the EM (Expectation-Maximization) algorithm for Gaussian mixtures as follows. The algorithm is an iterative algorithm that starts from some initial estimate of Θ (e.g., random), and then proceeds to iteratively update Θ until convergence is detected. Each iteration consists of an E-step and an M-step.

E-Step: Denote the current parameter values as Θ . Compute w_{ik} (using the equation above for membership weights) for all data points \underline{x}_i , $1 \leq i \leq N$ and all mixture components $1 \leq k \leq K$. Note that for each data point \underline{x}_i the membership weights are defined such that $\sum_{k=1}^K w_{ik} = 1$. This yields an $N \times K$ matrix of membership weights, where each of the rows sum to 1.

M-Step: Now use the membership weights and the data to calculate new parameter values. Let $N_k = \sum_{i=1}^N w_{ik}$, i.e., the sum of the membership weights for the k th component—this is the effective number of data points assigned to component k .

Specifically,

$$\alpha_k^{new} = \frac{N_k}{N}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq K.$$

These are the new mixture weights.

$$\underline{\mu}_k^{new} = \left(\frac{1}{N_k} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N w_{ik} \cdot \underline{x}_i \quad 1 \leq k \leq K.$$

The updated mean is calculated in a manner similar to how we could compute a standard empirical average, except that the i th data vector \underline{x}_i has a fractional weight w_{ik} . Note that this is a vector equation since $\underline{\mu}_k^{new}$ and \underline{x}_i are both d -dimensional vectors.

$$\Sigma_k^{new} = \left(\frac{1}{N_k} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N w_{ik} \cdot (\underline{x}_i - \underline{\mu}_k^{new})(\underline{x}_i - \underline{\mu}_k^{new})^t \quad 1 \leq k \leq K.$$

Again we get an equation that is similar in form to how we would normally compute an empirical covariance matrix, except that the contribution of each data point is weighted by w_{ik} . Note that this is a matrix equation of dimensionality $d \times d$ on each side.

The equations in the M-step need to be computed in this order, i.e., first compute the K new α 's, then the K new $\underline{\mu}_k$'s, and finally the K new Σ_k 's.

After we have computed all of the new parameters, the M-step is complete and we can now go back and recompute the membership weights in the E-step, then recompute the parameters again in the E-step, and continue updating the parameters in this manner. Each pair of E and M steps is considered to be one iteration.

Initialization and Convergence Issues for EM

The EM algorithm can be started by either initializing the algorithm with a set of initial parameters and then conducting an E-step, or by starting with a set of initial weights and then doing a first M-step. The initial parameters or weights can be chosen randomly (e.g. select K random data points as initial means and select the covariance matrix of the whole data set for each of the initial K covariance matrices) or could be chosen via some heuristic method (such as by using the k-means algorithm to cluster the data first and then defining weights based on k-means memberships).

Convergence is generally detected by computing the value of the log-likelihood after each iteration and halting when it appears not to be changing in a significant manner from one iteration to the next. Note that the log-likelihood (under the IID assumption) is defined as follows:

$$\log l(\Theta) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log p(\underline{x}_i | \Theta) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\log \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k p_k(\underline{x}_i | z_k, \theta_k) \right)$$

where $p_k(\underline{x}_i | z_k, \theta_k)$ is the Gaussian density for the k th mixture component.