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● A bit about me, as evidenced by my students, current and past
● A few success stories: software architecture has already had a big impact
● Why there’s still work to be done
  ◆ Expanding the agenda and the scope
● Software architecture and the development process
  ◆ Requirements, Design, Implementation, Evolution, Project management
● Thinking about what’s going on, using a new visualization
● Some research opportunities
Example Success #1: REST

- REpresentational State Transfer
  - An architectural style for decentralized network-based applications
- The underlying and guiding style of the post-1994 WWW
- Now finding impact in other applications
  - Other levels of the network stack; multi-media; Subversion, Web services
Google on “REST”: hits 2 through 7

**Building Web Services the REST Way**
Brief article on REST as an architectural style. (Roger L. Costello, xfront.com)
www.xfront.com/REST-Web-Services.html

**Representational State Transfer - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia**
Representational State Transfer (REST) is a software architectural style for ... REST strictly refers to a collection of architectural principles (described ... en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Representational_State_Transfer

**REST - Representational State Transfer**
www.ics.uci.edu/~fielding/pubs/dissertation/top.htm

**RESTwiki**
Site dedicated to all things related to the REST architectural style; includes a list of REST resources.
rest.blueoxen.net/

**Second Generation Web Services**
Comment on this article Does the REST model make sense, or is SOAP enabling ... Implementing REST Web Services: Best Practices and Guidelines (122 tags) ... www.xml.com/pub/a/2002/02/06/rest.html

**REST and the Real World**
Following on from his Next Generation Web Services article, Paul Prescod shows how the REST model for web services meets real world demands such as security ...
www.xml.com/pub/a/2002/02/20/rest.html
An Informal Summary of REST

- The Web is a collection of resources, each of which has a unique name known as a uniform resource locator, or “URL”.
- Each resource denotes, informally, some information. “Any information that can be named can be a resource: a document or image, a temporal service (e.g., “today’s weather in Los Angeles”), a collection of other resources, a nonvirtual object (e.g., a person), and so on.”
- URI’s can be used to determine the identity of a machine on the Internet, known as an origin server, where the value of the resource may be ascertained.
- Communication is initiated by clients, known as user agents who make requests of servers. Web browsers are common instances of user agents.
- Resources can be manipulated through their representations. For instance, a resource may be updated by a user agent sending a new representation of that resource to the origin server that holds that information. Similarly, a resource may be viewed by a user agent obtaining a representation from an origin server and displaying that representation on a monitor. HTML is a very common representation language used on the Web.
- All communication between user agents and origin servers must be performed by a simple, generic protocol (HTTP), which offers the command methods GET, POST, and a few others.
- All communication between user agents and origin servers must be fully self-contained. That is, an origin server must be able to respond correctly to a user agent’s request based solely on information contained in the request, and not require maintenance of a history of interactions between the user agent and the origin server.
Derivation of the REST Style

- Replicated
- Layered
- Programmable
- Uniform interface

- RR
- CS
- LS
- VM
- U

- $ (on-demand)
- CSS (stateless)
- LCS (mobile)
- COD (simple visible)
- C$$SS (reliable)
- LC$$SS (shared)
- LCODC$$SS (extensible)
- REST (reusable)

- cacheable
- scalable
- multi-org.
Exemplar Instance of REST

*ACM Transactions on Internet Technology*, 2, 2, pp. 115-150 (May 2002).
Example Success #2: Philips Consumer Electronics

- Efficient exploitation of product families
- Key is creation, use, specialization, and evolution of common architectures
- Koala as the means of representation

The Koala component model for consumer electronics software
van Ommering, R.; van der Linden, F.; Kramer, J.; Magee, J.;
Computer, Volume 33, Issue 3, March 2000 Page(s):78 - 85
Other Successes

- **Bosch:** Wireless, embedded, sensor applications
  - Architecture-focused middleware

- **Boeing:** Software-defined Radio Architectures
  - Modeling at multiple levels: network, unit, board, software
  - Hardware/software combinations
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If the Idea is so Cool, what’s Wrong?

- “Most of the time” “architecture” is confined to a development **phase**
- “Most of the time” “architecture” is equated with “high-level design”
- “Most of the time” “architecture” **follows** requirements
- “Most of the time” “architecture” and implementation act like divorcees
  - Related only in legal terms
  - Often at odds with each other
  - Trying to live independent lives
  - Both think they are the most important
Rather than…

- … confined to a development **phase**
  - Architecture is used, changed, and developed through most, if not all of a development process
- … equated with “high-level design”
  - Architecture entails all principal design decisions
  - From multiple stakeholder perspectives
- … **following** requirements
  - Architecture is used and developed throughout application conception
- … acting like divorcees
  - Architecture is a key partner throughout implementation (and evolution)
Primacy of Place

● Until architecture assumes the dominant conceptual role in software development, it cannot yield its potential benefits
● To assume that role, several things must change

The very character of key software engineering activities, such as requirements analysis and programming, are altered and the technical approaches taken during development activities are necessarily changed.
But What is “Architecture”? 

A software system’s architecture is the set of principal design decisions about the system. 

And the stakeholders determine what is “principal”
A Stakeholder-Centric Perspective on the Sources of “Principal” Design Decisions

- Domain
  - e.g., Critical abstractions & Separations
  - Algorithms
  - Priorities among NFP’s

- Business
  - e.g., Product family strategy
  - Licensing constraints
  - Budget & Schedule

- Technology
  - e.g., ADL,
  - Style, Configurations,
  - Frameworks, …
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Architecture and Development Processes

● Principal decision decisions may emerge at many points in the development process
    ◆ E.g., choice of implementation framework (may effect whole implementation, and approach thereto); choice of specific algorithm, e.g. for signal processing applications; decision as to whether application should be developed as part of a (new or existing) product line; choice of security/trust model (what do you believe about the user community?)

● The architecture should be an accurate characterization of all representations of the application… including the deployed object code
    ◆ It is the foundational characterization of the application
The Restructuring of “Requirements Engineering”

- The conventional teaching of software engineering is that RE precedes design.
- Typical practice shows that to be almost never the case
  - “The idea of completing requirements specification before doing design work is nonsense.” -- Anonymous

- It is not because we are idiots or badly trained engineers
  - But why is it so?
Solution and structure are equal partners with requirements in a conversation about needs (1)

- Existing designs and architectures provide the vocabulary to talk about what might be;
- Our understanding of what works now, and how it works, affects our wants and perceived needs, typically in very solution-focused terms;
Solution and structure are equal partners with requirements in a conversation about needs (2)

- The insights from our experiences with existing systems helps us *imagine* what might work and enables us to *assess*, at a very early stage, how long we must be willing to wait for it, and how much we will need to pay for it.

- The simple conclusion then, is that analysis of requirements and consideration of design – concerning oneself with the decisions of architecture – must be pursued *cooperatively and contemporaneously*. 
This is Not New in Engineering

- Henry Petroski: failure as a key engine of design innovation
The Evolution of Zippers
This is Not New in Software Engineering

E.g.

- Twin Peaks (Nusibeh)
- Agile methods
- … but somehow we have let developers merrily carry along under the fiction of requirements engineering as first

Figure 1. The Twin Peaks model develops progressively more detailed requirements and architectural specifications concurrently. This is an adaptation of the model first published in Paul Ward and Stephen Mellor’s Structured Development for Real-Time Systems: Introduction and Tools, vol. 1, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, N.J., 1985, and subsequently adapted by Andrew Vickers in his student lecture notes at the University of York, UK.
So Let’s Call this Activity “Conceptualization”

- It is the joint exploration of what to build and how to build it.
- Use our full range of experience (i.e. existing architecture), intuition, and analytical abilities to make the key initial decisions about an application.
- The principal design decisions resulting from this activity are critical elements of the application’s architecture.
Design

- Architecture is naturally a centerpiece of the design activity
  - But designing proceeds throughout most of the lifetime of an application -- it is not a one-shot deal
- Design is a rich activity -- much richer than we usually teach it
Domain Knowledge, Scope, and Design Techniques
Design, what’s different

- Reifying experience in (re-)useful ways
- Teaching and using a fuller range of techniques, experience, intuition, and analytical abilities to make key choices
- Addressing design more holistically: all stakeholder perspectives
- Recognizing that designing is a pervasive activity
  - Example: UI’s
Implementation: What’s the Role of Architecture Here?

- **Goal:** create, or co-create, an implementation *faithful* to the architecture (as it exists at the time implementation begins)
  - The principal design decisions must not be violated
  - The structural architecture should provide prime guidance

- **Architecture** provides the basis for the use of:
  - Generative techniques
  - Reuse-based techniques, including F/OSS
  - Implementation frameworks
  - … and last of all, new source code

- **The architecture is the context for evaluating implementation alternatives**
  - E.g. what are the consequences of reusing a package that doesn’t quite fit the existing architecture?
What We Need to Do is Help Bridge the Gap

Frameworks: technical aids in implementing the architectural styles of the system’s architecture
Instead of Divorcees, We need a Happily Married, Mature Couple

- The design provides useful, substantive, invaluable guidance for the implementation.
- The implementation faithfully implements the design.
- Changes to either one are reflected accurately in the other.
Analysis and Testing: Better, Faster, and Cheaper

- Explicit architecture implies opportunity for analysis
- Consistency checking: with requirements and with the implementation
  - Or with a model derived from the implementation
- Prioritization of A&T activities: core elements of product families, e.g.
- Carry-forward of prior A&T work: architecture provides the context for determining what needs to be done … or re-done
A strong architectural focus enables:
• Reasoning about evolution at a higher (more appropriate) level of abstraction
• Performing adaptation on-the-fly, reasoned at the architectural level
Corporate Structure and Management

Motivators for change:

- Product line development requires investment in, and management of, the product line, not just individual products
- Defeating Conway’s Law: Alignment of system architecture, development organization, and user organizations

Conway’s Law

- Can’t allow developer org structure to dictate software structure
- Software structure may (appropriately) be shaped by user organization(s)
- Analyze use situation; determine best architecture; then determine development processes and organizations
The Interactions Between the Activities: Principal Design Decisions Can be Made in Many Contexts

For instance:

- Conceptualization: the concurrent activities of design and requirements
- Design and Implementation
- Analysis, Re-design, Re-implementation
- User interface design, implementation, and field trial
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A New Visualization/Illustration Model

Goals of the visualization

- Provide an intuitive sense of
  - Project activities at any given time
    - Including concurrency of types of development activities
  - The “information space” of the project
- Show centrality of the products
  - (Hopefully) Growing body of artifacts
  - Allow for the centrality of architecture
    - But work equally well for other approaches, including dysfunctional ones
- Effective for indicating time, gaps, duration of activities
- Investment (cost) indicators
The Turbine Model

“Core” of project artifacts

Radius of rotor indicates level of staffing at time $t$

Gap between rotors indicates no project activity for that $\Delta t$

Requirements

Design

Coding

Testing

time

Simplistic Waterfall, Side perspective
Cross-section at time $t_i$
The Turbine Model

Waterfall example, Angled perspective
A Richer Example

- Requirements/Architecture assessment/Planning
- Design/Build/Requirements
- Build/Design/Requirements/Test
- Test/Build/Deploy
- Assess/…

$time$

$S_1$
A Sample Cross-Section
A Cross-Section at Project End
Volume Indicates Where Time was Spent

- Requirements/Architecture
- Requirements/Planning
- Design/Build/Requirements
- Build/Design/Requirements/Test
- Test/Build/Deploy
- Assess/…
Cool. But what does this have to do with architecture-centric development?

An “Agile” Process
Core, at Project End

Tests

Code

Reqs
What happens during the follow-on project?

50% Architecture recovery

50% RA and Architecture assessment

The project artifacts produced by the previous project
A Technically Strong Product Line Project

- Deployment
- Capture of new work
- Other

- Customization
- Parameterization
- Assessment
Visualization Summary

- It is illustrative, not prescriptive
- It is an aid to thinking about what’s going on in a project
- Can be automatically generated based on input of monitored project data
- Can be extended to illustrate development of the information space (artifacts)
  - Presentation here focused primarily on the development activities
Summary

- Software Architecture is a really powerful concept
  - It provides the key intellectual lever for the whole of development
- It has enjoyed considerable success
  - Whether in small projects or large, commercial or F/OSS
- BUT: it is frequently misconstrued, undervalued, or misunderstood
  - Widespread practice is in ignorance of a substantive understanding
  - It is often not taught well
- We have contributed to the problem
  - By ignoring the legitimate claims of other key system stakeholders
  - By pursuing limited research goals
- Architecture rightly belongs at the center of software engineering: because it is the concept that can tie development & evolution together
- SE must change to give architecture its proper place
  - An issue for academics and those who promulgate standards
  - An issue for practitioners and managers
Some Research Opportunities

- ADLs: extensible to capture stakeholder concerns (technology, domain, business)
  - In general, how can we capture all principal design decisions?
  - Do this work in the context of ArchStudio
- Add an open hypermedia layer to Eclipse
- Extend xADL (and ArchStudio) to support soft real-time systems
  - Some significant work done at USC
- Develop the Turbine visualization, and apply to real project data
  - and modifying the visualization is on the table, too
- Develop framework support for other architectural styles
Shameless Promotion

Software Architecture: Foundations, Theory, and Practice

Richard N. Taylor, Nenad Medvidovic, and Eric M. Dashofy

To appear: 2007
(I hope)
Blatant Advertising

- Winter Quarter 2007:
  - CompSci 190
  - Or
  - Informatics 295
- Software Patents and Litigation
  - Mondays, 1:00-1:50 and
  - Fridays, 1:00 - 2:50