QR Factorization

Projectors

• A *projector* is a square matrix P that satisfies

 $P^2 = P$

- Not necessarily an *orthogonal projector* (more later)
- If $v \in \operatorname{range}(P)$, then Pv = v
 - Since with v = Px,

$$Pv = P^2x = Px = v$$

- Projection along the line $Pv v \in \operatorname{null}(P)$
 - Since $P(Pv v) = P^2v Pv = 0$



Complementary Projectors

- The matrix I P is the complementary projector to P
- I P projects on the nullspace of P:
 - If Pv = 0, then (I P)v = v, so $\operatorname{null}(P) \subseteq \operatorname{range}(I P)$
 - But for any v, $(I P)v = v Pv \in \text{null}(P)$, so $\text{range}(I P) \subseteq \text{null}(P)$
 - Therefore

$$\operatorname{range}(I - P) = \operatorname{null}(P)$$

and

$$\operatorname{null}(I - P) = \operatorname{range}(P)$$

Complementary Subspaces

• For a projector P,

```
\operatorname{null}(I-P) \cap \operatorname{null}(P) = \{0\}
```

or

 $\operatorname{range}(P) \cap \operatorname{null}(P) = \{0\}$

- A projector separates \mathbb{C}^m into two spaces S_1 , S_2 , with range $(P) = S_1$ and $\operatorname{null}(P) = S_2$
- P is the projector onto S_1 along S_2

Orthogonal Projectors

- An *orthogonal projector* projects onto S_1 along S_2 , with S_1, S_2 orthogonal
- A projector P is orthogonal $\Longleftrightarrow P = P^*$
- Proof. Textbook / Black board



Projection with Orthonormal Basis

• Reduced SVD gives projector for orthonormal columns \hat{Q} :

 $P = \hat{Q}\hat{Q}^*$

- Complement $I-\hat{Q}\hat{Q}^*$ also orthogonal, projects onto space orthogonal to $\mathrm{range}(\hat{Q})$
- Special case 1: Rank-1 Orthogonal Projector (gives component in direction q)

$$P_q = qq^*$$

• Special case 2: Rank m - 1 Orthogonal Projector (eliminates component in direction q)

$$P_{\perp q} = I - qq^*$$

Projection with Arbitrary Basis

• Project v to $y \in \operatorname{range}(A)$. Then

$$y - v \perp \operatorname{range}(A)$$
, or $a_j^*(y - v) = 0, \forall j$

• Set y = Ax:

 $a_j^*(Ax - v) = 0, \forall j \Longleftrightarrow A^*(Ax - v) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow A^*Ax = A^*v$

• A^*A is nonsingular, so

$$x = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*v$$

• Finally, we are interested in the projection $y = Ax = A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*v$, giving the orthogonal projector

$$P = A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*$$

The QR Factorization - Main Idea

• Find orthonormal vectors that span the successive spaces spanned by the columns of *A*:

$$\langle a_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \subseteq \langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle \subseteq \dots$$

• This means that (for full rank A),

$$\langle q_1, q_2, \dots, q_j \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j \rangle$$
, for $j = 1, \dots, n$

The QR Factorization - Matrix Form

• In matrix form, $\langle q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_j \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_j \rangle$ becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & \cdots & q_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1n} \\ & r_{22} & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & r_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$$

- This is the reduced QR factorization
- Add orthogonal extension to \hat{Q} and add rows to \hat{R} to obtain the full QR factorization

The Full QR Factorization

• Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. The full QR factorization of A is the factorization A = QR, where

Q is m imes m unitary R is m imes n upper-triangular



The Reduced QR Factorization

- A more compact representation is the Reduced QR Factorization $A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}, \text{ where (for } m \geq n)$

 $\hat{Q} \text{ is } m \times n \text{ and } \hat{R} \text{ is } m \times n$



Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

• Find new q_j orthogonal to q_1, \ldots, q_{j-1} by subtracting components along previous vectors

$$v_j = a_j - (q_1^* a_j)q_1 - (q_2^* a_j)q_2 - \dots - (q_{j-1}^* a_j)q_{j-1}$$

- Normalize to get $q_j = v_j / ||v_j||$
- We then obtain a reduced QR factorization $A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$, with

$$r_{ij} = q_i^* a_j, \quad (i \neq j)$$

and

$$|r_{jj}| = ||a_j - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} r_{ij}q_i||_2$$

Classical Gram-Schmidt

- Straight-forward application of Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization
- Numerically unstable



Existence and Uniqueness

- Every $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ ($m \geq n$) has a full QR factorization and a reduced QR factorization
- *Proof.* For full rank A, Gram-Schmidt proves existence of $A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$. Otherwise, when $v_j = 0$ choose arbitrary vector orthogonal to previous q_i . For full QR, add orthogonal extension to Q and zero rows to R.
- Each $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ ($m \ge n$) of full rank has unique $A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$ with $r_{jj} > 0$
- *Proof.* Again Gram-Schmidt, $r_{jj} > 0$ determines the sign

Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

Gram-Schmidt Projections

• The orthogonal vectors produced by Gram-Schmidt can be written in terms of projectors

$$q_1 = \frac{P_1 a_1}{\|P_1 a_1\|}, \quad q_2 = \frac{P_2 a_2}{\|P_2 a_2\|}, \quad \dots, \quad q_n = \frac{P_n a_n}{\|P_n a_n\|}$$

where

$$P_{j} = I - \hat{Q}_{j-1} \hat{Q}_{j-1}^{*}$$
 with $\hat{Q}_{j-1} = \begin{bmatrix} q_{1} & q_{2} & \cdots & q_{j-1} \end{bmatrix}$

• P_j projects orthogonally onto the space orthogonal to $\langle q_1, \ldots, q_{j-1} \rangle$, and $\operatorname{rank}(P_j) = m - (j-1)$

The Modified Gram-Schmidt Algorithm

• The projection P_j can equivalently be written as

$$P_j = P_{\perp q_{j-1}} \cdots P_{\perp q_2} P_{\perp q_1}$$

where (last lecture)

$$P_{\perp q} = I - qq^*$$

- $P_{\perp q}$ projects orthogonally onto the space orthogonal to q, and $\operatorname{rank}(P_{\perp q}) = m 1$
- The Classical Gram-Schmidt algorithm computes an orthogonal vector by

$$v_j = P_j a_j$$

while the Modified Gram-Schmidt algorithm uses

$$v_j = P_{\perp q_{j-1}} \cdots P_{\perp q_2} P_{\perp q_1} a_j$$

Classical vs. Modified Gram-Schmidt

- Small modification of classical G-S gives modified G-S (but see next slide)
- Modified G-S is numerically stable (less sensitive to rounding errors)

Classical/Modified Gram-Schmidt
for
$$j = 1$$
 to n
 $v_j = a_j$
for $i = 1$ to $j - 1$
 $\begin{cases} r_{ij} = q_i^* a_j \quad (CGS) \\ r_{ij} = q_i^* v_j \quad (MGS) \\ v_j = v_j - r_{ij}q_i \end{cases}$
 $r_{jj} = ||v_j||_2$
 $q_j = v_j/r_{jj}$

Implementation of Modified Gram-Schmidt

- In modified G-S, $P_{\perp q_i}$ can be applied to all v_j as soon as q_i is known
- Makes the inner loop iterations independent (like in classical G-S)

Classical Gram-Schmidt
for $j=1$ to n
$v_j = a_j$
for $i=1$ to $j-1$
$r_{ij} = q_i^* a_j$
$v_j = v_j - r_{ij}q_i$
$r_{jj} = \ v_j\ _2$
$q_j = v_j / r_{jj}$

Modified Gram-Schmidt for i = 1 to n $v_i = a_i$ for i = 1 to n $r_{ii} = \|v_i\|$ $q_i = v_i / r_{ii}$ for j = i + 1 to n $r_{ij} = q_i^* v_j$ $v_i = v_i - r_{ij}q_i$

Example: Classical vs. Modified Gram-Schmidt

• Compare classical and modified G-S for the vectors

 $a_1 = (1, \epsilon, 0, 0)^T, \quad a_2 = (1, 0, \epsilon, 0)^T, \quad a_3 = (1, 0, 0, \epsilon)^T$

making the approximation $1+\epsilon^2\approx 1$

• Classical:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 \leftarrow (1, \epsilon, 0, 0)^T, \quad r_{11} &= \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2} \approx 1, \quad q_1 = v_1/1 = (1, \epsilon, 0, 0)^T \\ v_2 \leftarrow (1, 0, \epsilon, 0)^T, \quad r_{12} = q_1^T a_2 = 1, \quad v_2 \leftarrow v_2 - 1q_1 = (0, -\epsilon, \epsilon, 0)^T \\ r_{22} &= \sqrt{2}\epsilon, \quad q_2 = v_2/r_{22} = (0, -1, 1, 0)^T/\sqrt{2} \\ v_3 \leftarrow (1, 0, 0, \epsilon)^T, \quad r_{13} = q_1^T a_3 = 1, \quad v_3 \leftarrow v_3 - 1q_1 = (0, -\epsilon, 0, \epsilon)^T \\ r_{23} &= q_2^T a_3 = 0, \quad v_3 \leftarrow v_3 - 0q_2 = (0, -\epsilon, 0, \epsilon)^T \\ r_{33} &= \sqrt{2}\epsilon, \quad q_3 = v_3/r_{33} = (0, -1, 0, 1)^T/\sqrt{2} \end{aligned}$$

Example: Classical vs. Modified Gram-Schmidt

• Modified:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 \leftarrow (1, \epsilon, 0, 0)^T, \quad r_{11} &= \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2} \approx 1, \quad q_1 = v_1/1 = (1, \epsilon, 0, 0)^T \\ v_2 \leftarrow (1, 0, \epsilon, 0)^T, \quad r_{12} = q_1^T v_2 = 1, \quad v_2 \leftarrow v_2 - 1q_1 = (0, -\epsilon, \epsilon, 0)^T \\ r_{22} &= \sqrt{2}\epsilon, \quad q_2 = v_2/r_{22} = (0, -1, 1, 0)^T/\sqrt{2} \\ v_3 \leftarrow (1, 0, 0, \epsilon)^T, \quad r_{13} = q_1^T v_3 = 1, \quad v_3 \leftarrow v_3 - 1q_1 = (0, -\epsilon, 0, \epsilon)^T \\ r_{23} &= q_2^T v_3 = \epsilon/\sqrt{2}, \quad v_3 \leftarrow v_3 - r_{23}q_2 = (0, -\epsilon/2, -\epsilon/2, \epsilon)^T \\ r_{33} &= \sqrt{6}\epsilon/2, \quad q_3 = v_3/r_{33} = (0, -1, -1, 2)^T/\sqrt{6} \end{aligned}$$

• Check Orthogonality:

- Classical: $q_2^T q_3 = (0, -1, 1, 0)(0, -1, 0, 1)^T / 2 = 1/2$

- Modified: $q_2^T q_3 = (0, -1, 1, 0)(0, -1, -1, 2)^T / \sqrt{12} = 0$

Operation Count

- Count number of floating points operations "flops" in an algorithm
- Each +, -, *, /, or $\sqrt{}$ counts as one flop
- No distinction between real and complex
- No consideration of memory accesses or other performance aspects

Operation Count - Modified G-S

- Example: Count all +, -, *, / in the Modified Gram-Schmidt algorithm (not just the leading term)
- (1) for i = 1 to n(2) $v_i = a_i$ (3) for i = 1 to n(4) $r_{ii} = ||v_i||$ (5) $q_i = v_i/r_{ii}$ (6) for j = i + 1 to n(7) $r_{ij} = q_i^* v_j$ (8) $v_j = v_j - r_{ij}q_i$

m multiplications, m-1 additions m divisions

m multiplications, m-1 additions m multiplications, m subtractions

Operation Count - Modified G-S

• The total for each operation is

$$#A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(m - 1 + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} m - 1 \right) = n(m-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (m-1)(n-i) =$$

$$= n(m-1) + \frac{n(n-1)(m-1)}{2} = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)(m-1)$$

$$#S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} m = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(n-i) = \frac{1}{2}mn(n-1)$$

$$#M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(m + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 2m \right) = mn + \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2m(n-i) =$$

$$= mn + \frac{2mn(n-1)}{2} = mn^{2}$$

$$#D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m = mn$$

Operation Count - Modified G-S

and the total flop count is

$$\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)(m-1) + \frac{1}{2}mn(n-1) + mn^2 + mn = 2mn^2 + mn - \frac{1}{2}n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n \sim 2mn^2$$

- The symbol \sim indicates asymptotic value as $m,n
 ightarrow \infty$ (leading term)
- Easier to find just the leading term:
 - Most work done in lines (7) and (8), with 4m flops per iteration
 - Including the loops, the total becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} 4m = 4m \sum_{i=1}^{n} (n-i) \sim 4m \sum_{i=1}^{n} i = 2mn^2$$

Householder Reflectors

Gram-Schmidt as Triangular Orthogonalization

• Gram-Schmidt multiplies with triangular matrices to make columns orthogonal, for example at the first step:

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \cdots & v_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{r_{11}} & \frac{-r_{12}}{r_{11}} & \frac{-r_{13}}{r_{11}} & \cdots \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & v_2^{(2)} & \cdots & v_n^{(2)} \\ & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

• After all the steps we get a product of triangular matrices

$$A\underbrace{R_1R_2\cdots R_n}_{\hat{R}^{-1}} = \hat{Q}$$

• "Triangular orthogonalization"

Householder Triangularization

• The Householder method multiplies by unitary matrices to make columns triangular, for example at the first step:

$$Q_1 A = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & \mathbf{x} & \cdots & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{x} & \cdots & \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{x} & \cdots & \mathbf{x} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{x} & \cdots & \mathbf{x} \end{bmatrix}$$

• After all the steps we get a product of orthogonal matrices

$$\underbrace{Q_n \cdots Q_2 Q_1}_{Q^*} A = R$$

• "Orthogonal triangularization"

Introducing Zeros

- Q_k introduces zeros below the diagonal in column k
- Preserves all the zeros previously introduced



Householder Reflectors

• Let Q_k be of the form

$$Q_k = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix}$$

where I is $(k-1)\times (k-1)$ and F is $(m-k+1)\times (m-k+1)$

• Create Householder reflector F that introduces zeros:

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} \times \\ \times \\ \vdots \\ \times \end{bmatrix} \quad Fx = \begin{bmatrix} \|x\| \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \|x\|e_1$$

Householder Reflectors

• Idea: Reflect across hyperplane H orthogonal to $v = ||x||e_1 - x$, by the unitary matrix

$$F = I - 2\frac{vv^*}{v^*v}$$





Choice of Reflector

- We can choose to reflect to any multiple z of $||x||e_1$ with |z|=1
- Better numerical properties with large ||v||, for example

$$v = \operatorname{sign}(x_1) \|x\| e_1 + x$$



The Householder Algorithm

- Compute the factor R of a QR factorization of $m \times n$ matrix A ($m \ge n$)
- Leave result in place of A, store reflection vectors v_k for later use

Algorithm: Householder QR Factorization for k = 1 to n $x = A_{k:m,k}$ $v_k = \operatorname{sign}(x_1) ||x||_2 e_1 + x$ $v_k = v_k / ||v_k||_2$ $A_{k:m,k:n} = A_{k:m,k:n} - 2v_k (v_k^* A_{k:m,k:n})$

$\label{eq:applying} \textbf{Applying or Forming} \; Q$

- Compute $Q^*b = Q_n \cdots Q_2 Q_1 b$ and $Qx = Q_1 Q_2 \cdots Q_n x$ implicitly
- To create Q explicitly, apply to x = I



Algorithm: Implicit Calculation of Qx

for k = n downto 1

 $x_{k:m} = x_{k:m} - 2v_k(v_k^* x_{k:m})$

Operation Count - Householder QR

• Most work done by

$$A_{k:m,k:n} = A_{k:m,k:n} - 2v_k(v_k^* A_{k:m,k:n})$$

• Operations per iteration:

–
$$2(m-k)(n-k)$$
 for the dot products $v_k^*A_{k:m,k:n}$

-
$$(m-k)(n-k)$$
 for the outer product $2v_k(\cdots)$

-
$$(m-k)(n-k)$$
 for the subtraction $A_{k:m,k:n} - \cdots$

-
$$4(m-k)(n-k)$$
 total

• Including the outer loop, the total becomes

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} 4(m-k)(n-k) = 4\sum_{k=1}^{n} (mn-k(m+n)+k^2)$$

~ $4mn^2 - 4(m+n)n^2/2 + 4n^3/3 = 2mn^2 - 2n^3/3$

Givens Rotations

• Alternative to Householder reflectors

• A Givens rotation
$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$
 rotates $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ by θ

- To set an element to zero, choose $\cos\theta$ and $\sin\theta$ so that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ x_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{x_i^2 + x_j^2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$\cos \theta = \frac{x_i}{\sqrt{x_i^2 + x_j^2}}, \qquad \sin \theta = \frac{-x_j}{\sqrt{x_i^2 + x_j^2}}$$

Givens QR

• Introduce zeros in column from bottom and up



• Flop count $3mn^2 - n^3$ (or 50% more than Householder QR)

Least Square Problems

The Linear Least Squares Problem

- In general, Ax = b with m > n has no solution
- Instead, try to minimize the *residual* r = b Ax
- With the 2-norm we obtain the linear least squares problem (LSP):

Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, m \ge n, b \in \mathbb{C}^m$, find $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\|b - Ax\|_2$ is minimized

• The minimizer x is the solution to the *normal equations*

$$A^*Ax = A^*b$$

or, in terms of the *pseudoinverse* A^+ :

$$x = A^+ b$$
, where $A^+ = (A^* A)^{-1} A^* \in \mathbb{C}^{n,m}$

Geometric Interpretation

- Find the point Ax in $\operatorname{range}(A)$ closest to b
- This x will minimize the 2-norm of r=b-Ax
- Ax = Pb where P is an orthogonal projector onto range(A), so the residual must be orthogonal to range(A)



Solving the LSP – 1. Normal Equations

- If A has full rank, A^*A is square, hermitian positive definite system
- Solve by Cholesky factorization (Gaussian elimination)

Algorithm: Least Squares via Normal Equations

- 1. Form the matrix A^*A and the vector A^*b
- 2. Compute the Cholesky factorization $A^*A = R^*R$
- 3. Solve the lower-triangular system $R^*w = A^*b$ for w
- 4. Solve the upper-triangular system Rx = w for x
- Work $\sim {\rm Forming} \; A^*A$ + Cholesky $\sim mn^2 + n^3/3$ flops
- Fast, but sensitive to rounding errors

Solving the LSP – 2. QR Factorization

- Using $A=\hat{Q}\hat{R},$ b can be projected onto $\mathrm{range}(A)$ by $P=\hat{Q}\hat{Q}^{*}$
- Insert into Ax = b to get $\hat{Q}\hat{R}x = \hat{Q}\hat{Q}^*b$, or $\hat{R}x = \hat{Q}^*b$

Algorithm: Least Squares via QR Factorization

- 1. Compute the reduced QR factorization $A = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$
- 2. Compute the vector \hat{Q}^*b

3. Solve the upper-triangular system $\hat{R}x = \hat{Q}^*b$ for x

- $\bullet~{\rm Work} \sim {\rm QR}~{\rm Factorization} \sim 2mn^2 2n^3/3~{\rm flops}$
- Good stability, relatively fast, used in MATLAB's "backslash" \

Solving the LSP – 3. SVD

- Using $A=\hat{U}\hat{\Sigma}V^*$, b can be projected onto $\mathrm{range}(A)$ by $P=\hat{U}\hat{U}^*$
- Insert into Ax = b to get $\hat{U}\hat{\Sigma}V^*x = \hat{U}\hat{U}^*b$, or $\hat{\Sigma}V^*x = \hat{U}^*b$

Algorithm: Least Squares via SVD

- 1. Compute the reduced SVD $A = \hat{U} \hat{\Sigma} V^*$
- 2. Compute the vector \hat{U}^*b
- 3. Solve the diagonal system $\hat{\Sigma}w=\hat{U}^*b$ for w
- 4. Set x = Vw
- $\bullet~{\rm Work}\sim{\rm SVD}\sim 2mn^2+11n^3~{\rm flops}$
- $\bullet\,$ Very good stability properties, use if A is close to rank-deficient